

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE No.: 502008CA031809XXXXMB, 502010CA018934XXXXMB AW
502009CA043926XXXXMB, 502009CA016797XXXXMB AW
502008CA034279XXXXMB AW, 502010CA011243XXXXMB AW,

AURORA LOAN SERVICES, LLC, Plaintiff,

-vs-

DANIEL CIANCIOTTO, et al.

NICK PURIFICATO, et al.

NINA MACKLIN, et al.

JOSE A. AYALA, et al.

ROSELITO PIMENTEL, et al.

KIM MCGRADY, et al.

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF NEVA HALL

Thursday, August 4, 2011 9:35 - 11:35 a.m.

One Clematis Street
Suite 500
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Reported By:
Melinda L. Colchico
Notary Public, State of Florida
J. Consor & Associates
1655 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard, Suite 500
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Phone: 561-682-0905



		Page 2	
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	On behalf of the Plaintiff:		
3	STEVEN ELLISON, ESQ.		
	BROAD AND CASSEL		
4	ONE CLEMATIS STREET		
	SUITE 500		
5	WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33401		
	(561) 832-3300		
6			
	On behalf of the Defendants:		
7			
	DUSTIN ZACKS, ESQ.		
8	ICE LEGAL		
	1015 N. STATE ROAD 7		
9	SUITE D		
	ROYAL PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33411		
10	(561) 729-0530		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



			Page 3
1			
2		INDEX	
3			
4			
5	WITNESS:	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT	RECROSS
6	Neva Hall 4		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11		NO EXHIBITS MARKED	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



	Page 4
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	Deposition taken before Melinda Colchico,
4	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for
5	the State of Florida at Large, in the above cause.
6	
7	Thereupon,
8	NEVA HALL
9	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined
10	and testified as follows:
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	MR. ZACKS: I'm Dustin Zacks from Ice Legal.
13	I'm here on behalf of a bunch of defendants today.
14	MR. ELLISON: Steve Ellison from Broad and
15	Cassel here on behalf of Aurora Loan Services; on
16	behalf of the witness, Neva Hall.
17	MR. ZACKS: Just for the record, Mr. Ellison
18	and myself have stipulated that the initial
19	deposition period will be applicable to a number of
20	cases we have. Subsequent to that, we will proceed
21	to individual depositions.
22	MR. ELLISON: And just so that the record is
23	clear, if you could place on the record, the cases
24	are Aurora Loan Services versus Nick Purificato;
25	Aurora Loan Services versus Ayala; Aurora Loan



	Page 5
1	Services versus what's Cianciotto's first name?
2	MR. ZACKS: Daniel.
3	MR. ELLISON: Daniel Cianciotto; Aurora Loan
4	Services versus Kim R. McGrady; Aurora Loan
5	Services versus Nina Macklin; and Aurora Loan
6	Services versus Roselito Pimentel.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. ZACKS:
9	Q And if you could state your name, please.
10	A Neva Hall.
11	Q Have you had your deposition taken before?
12	A No.
13	Q Okay. Just some preliminaries. If you don't
14	understand a question, just tell me. If you need a
15	break, just tell me. Sometimes you will remember
16	something later in a deposition in response to an
17	earlier question that you couldn't remember. Will you
18	agree to let me know if that happens?
19	A Yeah. Yes.
20	Q And that's the final issue, is, you know, make
21	sure you answer with a yes or no because the transcript
22	needs to have yes or no. Okay?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. ELLISON: No nodding heads.
25	



		Page 6
1	BY MR. ZA	ACKS:
2	Q	Right. Nodding heads and uh-huhs don't come
3	out well.	. So this is your first deposition then?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. Who is your current employer?
6	А	Aurora Bank.
7	Q	And that's Aurora Bank FSB?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Previous to that?
10	А	Aurora Loan Services.
11		MR. ELLISON: A little louder just to make it
12	easi	ier for the court reporter.
13		THE WITNESS: All right.
14	BY MR. ZA	ACKS:
15	Q	What is your title?
16	А	Assistant vice president.
17	Q	Okay. And it was the same at Aurora Loan
18	Services?	
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Prior to Aurora Loan Services, where did you
21	work befo	ore that?
22	А	I worked for Washington Mutual.
23	Q	And what was your position there?
24	А	I was representative for the Hope Now program.
25	Q	Hope Now is what, like loss mitigation?



		Page 7
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And that's at WaMu. Before that
3	where wer	re you before that?
4	A	HSBC.
5	Q	What did you do there?
6	A	I did several different things there. The
7	last thir	ng I did was collections supervisor.
8	Q	Would that be all kinds of loans or just home
9	loans?	
10	A	Yeah, there was we had first mortgages,
11	second mo	ortgages and the personal home loans.
12	Q	All right. Did you ever oversee the
13	Bankrupto	cy Department at Aurora Loan Services, LLC?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	What was your what were your duties in that
16	capacity?	
17	A	I was the bankruptcy manager.
18	Q	And what did that consistent of?
19	A	I managed the bankruptcy processes.
20	Q	So what would that entail, just any time
21	somebody	files bankruptcy, you would do what?
22	A	We had a we have a group that does proof of
23	claims.	We have a group that does motion for reliefs.
24	We have a	new case setup person. Then we have a group
25	that read	ds all incoming mail.



	Page 8
1	Q And you were in charge of all of those groups?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Now, in your capacity as assistant
4	vice president, you don't do bankruptcy?
5	A Yes.
6	Q You still do?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Are you still in charge of those same groups?
9	A Yes.
10	Q How many people are in those bankruptcy groups
11	that you described?
12	A We have 23 now.
13	Q Okay. And are those separate individual
14	departments, the people who read the incoming mail,
15	people who do the new case setup, the
16	A No. It's all in the Bankruptcy Department.
17	It's all within my
18	MR. ELLISON: Let him finish his question
19	before you answer.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	BY MR. ZACKS:
22	Q As assistant vice president, do you have more
23	duties than just bankruptcy, I'm assuming?
24	A Pretty much anything that's assigned to me,
25	yes.



	Page 9
1	Q And what kinds of things are assigned to you
2	besides bankruptcies?
3	A Projects.
4	Q Okay. What kind of projects?
5	A Pretty much anything that the whole department
6	needs. I work under an a senior vice president and
7	she has foreclosure and contested default.
8	Q Who's the senior vice president you work
9	under?
10	A Cheryl Marchant.
11	Q Do you work under anyone else directly?
12	A No. I report directly to Cheryl.
13	Q Is your you're an assistant vice president.
14	Is there a particular department you would describe
15	yourself as being vice president of?
16	MR. ELLISON: Object to the form.
17	You can answer.
18	MR. ZACKS: What's wrong with the form?
19	MR. ELLISON: You went from assistant
20	vice president to vice president.
21	MR. ZACKS: I'm sorry. Sure.
22	BY MR. ZACKS:
23	Q You said you are assistant vice president,
24	right?
25	A Right.



		Page 10
1	Q	Is there a particular department you are
2	assistant	vice president of?
3	А	My primary duties are for bankruptcy.
4	Q	So, I mean your business card, does it say
5	Assistant	Vice President of Bankruptcy?
6	А	It says, Bankruptcy Manager.
7	Q	How did you start to get into the additional
8	projects,	as you termed it?
9	А	It's always been a function in my position.
10	Q	Besides foreclosures and contested defaults
11	and bankr	uptcies, are you in charge of any other areas?
12	А	No.
13	Q	As assistant vice president, you sign a number
14	of docume:	nts, right?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And you sign documents in connection with
17	foreclosu	res, right?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. What kinds of documents do you sign in
20	connection	n with foreclosures?
21		MR. ELLISON: Today or at a different time?
22	BY MR. ZA	CKS:
23	Q	Well, let's start in general today.
24	А	Today, I don't sign anything for foreclosure.
25	Q	Okay. And you used to sign documents in



		Page 11
1	connection	on with foreclosures, right?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	What kinds of documents?
4	А	I think the majority were affidavits.
5	Q	What kinds of affidavits?
6	А	Affidavits of default, or indebtedness.
7	Q	Indebtedness, sure. Besides affidavits of
8	indebtedr	ness, any other kinds of affidavits you would
9	sign?	
10	А	I don't recall the names of them.
11	Q	But you did sign other affidavits, other than
12	affidavit	s of indebtedness?
13	А	I don't know for sure.
14	Q	Okay. Besides affidavits, was there anything
15	you signe	ed in connection with foreclosures?
16	А	Substitution of trustee.
17	Q	Anything else?
18	А	I don't remember all the documents I signed.
19	Q	Did you sign assignments of mortgage?
20	А	No.
21	Q	So besides affidavits and substitutions of
22	trustees,	as you sit here today you can't remember
23	anything	else you signed in your capacity as assistant
24	vice pres	sident in connection with foreclosures?
25	А	I don't remember the specific names of the



Page 12 1 documents, no. Okay. You say that now you don't sign 2 3 anything in connection with foreclosures. When did you stop? 4 I don't remember the specific date. 5 Was it last week, last month, last year? I don't remember the specific date. last year. 8 9 Okay. Was there a directive handed out, or 0 who told you to stop? 10 MR. ELLISON: Object to the form. 11 You can answer. 12 MR. ZACKS: What's wrong with the form? 13 MR. ELLISON: It's two things. 14 15 MR. ZACKS: Compound, yes. BY MR. ZACKS: 16 17 Who told you to stop signing documents? Q 18 Cheryl. Α 19 Did she give any reason? MR. ELLISON: To the extent it may have 20 21 related to any communications that she was passing 22 from counsel, that would be protected by the 23 attorney/client privilege. So if you know that it was passing information from counsel, then you 24 can't answer that question. If you don't know 25



Page 13 that, you can answer the question. 1 THE WITNESS: I know it came from counsel. 2. 3 MR. ELLISON: So we are going to -- that's going to be privileged. 4 5 BY MR. ZACKS: And I'll ask you, you're not answering on 6 Q 7 instruction of your attorney, correct? Α Correct. 8 How did you receive that direction to stop? 9 Was it an email? 10 11 Α No. Was it an in-person discussion? 12 Α Yes. 13 Did you receive any paper correspondence 14 memorializing that? 15 Not that I remember. 16 Α 17 Okay. How long had you been signing documents in connection with foreclosures before you were told to 18 19 stop? I don't remember the exact date I stopped so I 20 21 don't know that I can answer that question. Had you signed documents in connection with 22 23 foreclosures for a week or was this a routine part of your duties for several years? 24 25 Α It was a routine part of my duties.



Page 14 Besides what may have been shared with your 1 0 counsel, do you know of any reason why you were told to 2 stop signing foreclosure documents? 3 Α No. 4 Was it ever discussed at your company why you 5 0 stopped signing foreclosure documents? 6 7 It was but it was with in-house counsel we had Α those discussions. 8 Do you endorse promissory notes? 9 Α No. 10 Take me through the process of what you used 11 0 to do when you signed affidavits in connection with 12 foreclosures. 13 I didn't really have a standard process. Α Ιt 14 kind of depended. 15 How many affidavits would you say you signed 16 17 in a given week? I don't know. 18 Α 19 0 Would you say it was 10 or 100 or 1,000? I never counted them. I don't know. 20 Α 21 How many hours a day did you sign affidavits? 22 I never kept track. Α 23 What percentage of your duties would you say was signing foreclosure documentation? 24 I don't know. I would just be speculating. 25 Α



Page 15 Now, would you get an instruction to sign each 1 Q individual affidavit or was it just understood this was 2 3 part of your general duties? Α An instruction? 4 Sure. You said Cheryl is your supervisor, 5 0 6 right? 7 Right. Α So every time an affidavit came in, would she 8 0 have to tell you, we need you to sign this? Or would it 9 10 come in through some other channel? It would be various ways. 11 Α Okay. And what would those ways be? 12 Cheryl would instruct me or I would receive it 13 Α from someone in the Foreclosure Department, or I would 14 get something from in-house counsel; they would ask me. 15 And those requests for you to sign an 16 affidavit, would they typically come by email or some 17 other form of notification? 18 19 Α I beg your pardon? Sure. Notification that an affidavit needed 20 0 21 to be signed would that come to you via email or some 22 other form? 23 It was usually handed -- the document was handed to me. 24 Okay. Was there any difference in the times 25 0



Page 16 Cheryl instructed you to sign those documents or was it 1 completely random? 2 3 I think it was more random than anything. Usually if it came from Cheryl, it came from in-house 4 counsel, too, but Cheryl and I are in the same office. 5 Who drafts foreclosure affidavits during the 6 Q 7 time you were signing them? Α Counsel. 8 In-house counsel? 9 10 Α No. Outside counsel? 11 0 12 Α Yes. How long would you take to sign each 13 Q affidavit? 14 I never timed myself. I don't know. 15 Have you ever taken more than 15 minutes? 16 Q 17 I never timed myself. I don't know. Α 18 Okay. Have you ever taken more than an hour? 19 Α I still don't know. As part of your duties as assistant 20 0 21 vice president, you also have signed responses to discovery; is that right? 22 23 Α Yes. And you've also verified complaints; is that 24 Q right? 25



Page 17 1 Α Yes. Can you approximate how many responses to 2 3 discovery you would sign in a given week? Α No. 4 5 Was it common for you to sign responses to 0 discovery? 6 7 MR. ELLISON: Object to the form. BY MR. ZACKS: 8 Did you sign --9 MR. ZACKS: I'll rephrase. 10 11 MR. ELLISON: Okay. BY MR. ZACKS: 12 During the time you were signing documents in 13 Q connection with foreclosures, did you sign responses to 14 discovery every day? 15 No. 16 Α 17 Every week? Q I don't know. 18 Α 19 Q Can you approximate how many verifications of foreclosure complaints you signed in a given week? 20 21 Α I don't know. How many other people, between Cheryl and the 22 23 23 people you oversaw, were also signing affidavits, verifications and responses to discovery? 24 No other people in the Bankruptcy Department, 25 Α



Page 18 other than myself, signed foreclosure documents. 1 Are there people at your level who are also 2 3 signing affidavits, verifications and responses to discovery? 4 5 MR. ELLISON: At that time? MR. ZACKS: Yes, at that time. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ZACKS: 8 9 And who are those people? Α I don't know all their names. 10 Okay. Can you list those that you remember? 11 0 Whytnie Nordman --12 Α MR. ELLISON: Could you spell that for court 13 14 reporter? THE WITNESS: Actually, let me take that back. 15 I don't think she signed documents. 16 17 MR. ELLISON: Spell it for the court reporter 18 anyway. 19 THE WITNESS: N-O-R-D-M-A-N, and it's W-H-Y-T-N-I-E. Angela Martinez. That's all that I 20 21 can think of. Those are the only people -- the 22 person I actually witnessed sign documents was 23 Angela Martinez. I'm not sure Whytnie did. BY MR. ZACKS: 24 And what's Angela's position? 25 0



Page 19 She was assistant vice president. 1 Α How would it happen that she would sign 2 0 3 something as opposed to you? Α I don't know. 4 5 You didn't have a particular specialty as 0 opposed to Angela where it might go to one or the other 6 7 to sign something? Α No. 8 Was she also directly under Cheryl Marchant? 9 Α Yes. 10 Now, what were Angela's duties as assistant 11 0 vice president? Is it a different department that she 12 oversaw than you? 13 Α 14 Yes. What department was that? 15 I don't know the name of the department but 16 17 she worked in deed-in-lieus. She assisted with deed-in-lieus. 18 19 0 Tell me about the difference -- do you know much about the Colorado office of Aurora? 20 21 Α No. 22 Do you know why certain documents might be 23 signed in your office in Indiana versus Colorado? 24 Α No. Do you know if they have similar departments 25



Page 20 to yours in Colorado? 1 There's a Foreclosure Department in Colorado, 3 yes. But you don't know why some cases would be 4 referred out there or to your office? 5 I think it was geographic. 6 Α 7 Okay. So who is your office in charge of; 0 just certain States or certain areas of the country? 8 9 I believe so. Okay. What areas were those? 10 I don't know. 11 Α For affidavits, you said outside counsel 12 drafted those, correct? 13 14 Α Yes. The affidavits that you signed, correct? 15 Yes. 16 Α 17 Would that be everything on the affidavit? 18 Yes. Α 19 0 When you sign an affidavit, what kinds of things do you review? Well, sorry. I'll specify. When 20 21 you signed affidavits of indebtedness, what kinds of documentation would you review prior to signing that 22 23 affidavit? Α My focus was on the numbers. 24 So what kinds of things would you review to 25



		Page 21
1	focus on	the numbers?
2	A	The document.
3	Q	The affidavit?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. Anything else?
6	A	Occasionally I would look at the system.
7	Q	Anything else?
8	А	Occasionally I would ask one of the
9	processor	s.
10	Q	Anything else?
11	A	No.
12	Q	So to focus on the numbers you said you would
13	look at t	the document itself; look at the system and ask
14	the proce	essors. Anything else?
15	A	Not that I recall.
16	Q	What system are you referring to?
17	А	Our servicing system.
18	Q	What system is that?
19	A	LPS Fidelity.
20	Q	And what kinds of sorry. When you had
21	occasion	to look at the system, what would you be
22	looking f	For?
23	A	Date of default.
24	Q	Anything else?
25	A	Unpaid balance.



Page 22 Anything else? 1 Q Pretty much any number that was in the -- in 2 3 the affidavit. You said you would ask the processors. 4 0 Who are the processors? 5 Like what, names? 6 Α 7 Sure. And also, what do they do? I don't know what a processor does. 8 9 Α Oh. MR. ELLISON: Let's start with what they do 10 11 and then you can go to names. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't recall all the 12 names, but they would take the document from the 13 attorneys -- that the attorney uploaded and they 14 would verify the figures. Then they would give the 15 document to the person who distributed the 16 17 documents to the necessary signers. BY MR. ZACKS: 18 19 All right. What kinds of things would you ask the processors about? 20 21 Well, it would depend. If I saw what I thought was an unusual figure or something that didn't 22 23 make sense to me. How often did that occur? 24 Q 25 Α I don't know.



Page 23 Was it your usual process to ask processors 1 Q every time you signed an affidavit? 2 3 I didn't have cause to ask them every time. Would you say it was rare? 4 0 I don't know. 5 Α Tell me about the actual act of signing these 6 7 affidavits. When you received them from the person who distributes the documents, would they come to you in 8 physical form? 9 10 Α Yes. Okay. And would there be one or a stack of 11 them, or how would they come to you? 12 It could be either. Α 13 Okay. Was it more common than not to get more 14 O than one? 15 16 Α No. 17 Was there a certain time of day those would be Q 18 delivered to you? 19 Α I usually got them in the morning. Would the notary be right there with you? 20 0 21 Α No. 22 Where was the notary? 23 Α On the same floor, in the same area. So the notary would not watch you sign the 24 Q 25 document?



Page 24 1 Α No. Would you -- how would you present documents 3 you signed to the notary? Send a big stack all at once or --4 Can we go back to the last question? 5 6 Q Sure. Because it wasn't always. Occasionally I did 7 sign in front of them because they were there. I mean, 8 9 they would hand you a document. So to say, no, was an 10 inaccurate statement. Sure. You said the notary is on the same --11 well, let's go back to how you delivered it. Assuming 12 the notary was not sitting at the table with you right 13 there, would you just take a big stack, drop them off at 14 her desk and watch the notary sign it? Or how would you 15 16 do that? 17 MR. ELLISON: Object to the form. 18 You can answer. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't know what you would call a big stack but I would just hand them 20 21 back to the person that gave me the documents 22 initially. 23 BY MR. ZACKS: And that would be the same person we talked 24 0 about earlier under the generic title, "person who 25



Page 25 distributes documents?" 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Was that always the same person or was that somebody different each time? 4 5 Occasionally it was someone else, but Α primarily it was Amber Smoot. 6 7 So Amber would come to your desk; drop off the 0 affidavits; you would sign it sometimes in front of the 8 notary, but when the notary wasn't sitting at the desk, 9 10 Amber would go drop those affidavits off at the notary's desk; is that right? 11 Primarily that's what happened, yes. 12 Okay. And you said the notary is in the same 13 area. What do you mean by that? 14 I could see them. They were all on this -- we 15 are all in the same room. 16 17 Okay. How far away were you? I don't know how many feet it would be. 18 19 could see them. They are all in the same room. Tell me about the LPS Fidelity system. 20 0 Is 21 that one system or are those -- is that one title for 22

Actually, LPS owns or has both systems.

have the Fidelity system and the LPS desktop management

the same system?

Α

system.

23

24

25



		Page 26
1	Q	And in your course of sending affidavits
2	sometimes	s you would consult both of those or one of
3	those?	
4	А	Yes. Primarily the our system of record.
5	Q	The desktop?
6	А	No.
7	Q	Or the Fidelity?
8	А	The Fidelity.
9	Q	What can you tell me about the Fidelity
10	system?	Does that have the entire payment history?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Is your department in charge of making or
13	changing	the records on the Fidelity system?
14	А	You have to be more specific about what
15	records a	are changed.
16	Q	Sure. Say there was a payment entry that came
17	in?	
18	А	I would not do that.
19	Q	That would not be your department?
20	А	No.
21		MR. ELLISON: Let him finish the question
22	befo	ore you answer.
23	BY MR. ZA	ACKS:
24	Q	What department would that be?
25	А	The Cashiering Department in Colorado.



Page 27 Who was in charge of the Cashiering 1 0 2 Department? 3 Α I don't remember his name right now. Do you know how many people are in the 4 0 5 department? 6 Α No. Can you name anyone in that department? 0 Rachel Curtis. 8 Α Who is she? 9 She's a supervisor. 10 Α Anyone else? 11 0 Her first name is Autumn but I can't remember 12 Α her last name. It escapes me right now. 13 All right. Anyone else? 14 0 Autumn Hollars, that was her name. 15 I knew it would come to me. H-O-L-L-A-R-S. 16 Sorry. 17 So in the Cashiering Department, they would be the ones taking in payments and marking them on the 18 19 Fidelity system; is that right? 20 Primarily, yes. Α 21 0 Who else would do that? 22 Well, sometimes it went through the lockbox. 23 It would get scanned and posted automatically. Q I see what you mean. So sometimes it was a 24 human being physically doing that in the Cashiering 25



	Page 28	
1	Department; sometimes it's an automated process?	
2	A Correct.	
3	Q Besides those two processes, does any other	
4	department change payment records on Fidelity?	
5	A Not that I'm aware, no.	
6	Q Let me ask you the same question for things	
7	like escrows or pay-outs rather than payments coming in	
8	to Aurora. What department would be in charge of	
9	notating that on the Fidelity system?	
10	A It would be the Escrow Department.	
11	Q And are you in charge of that department?	
12	A No.	
13	Q Where is that department?	
14	A That's in Scotts Bluff.	
15	Q Nebraska?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Who's in charge of that department?	
18	A Lucy Lange.	
19	Q How many people are in that department?	
20	A I don't know.	
21	Q Besides Lucy Lange, do you know anyone in that	
22	department?	
23	A No. I can't recall any names.	
24	Q Do they ever they being the Escrow	
25	Department, do they ever use an automated process?	



Page 29 I don't know. 1 Α Can you take me through the process of the 2 3 Cashiering Department taking in a payment such that it would be uploaded on the Fidelity system? 4 5 I really can't. I'm not the person to answer Α that. 6 7 Okay. And the same question about the 0 automated process, can you tell me anything about how 8 that works? 9 10 Α No. The Escrow Department, same question 11 0 essentially, how does the Escrow Department -- how does 12 their process work in terms of paying something out --13 Α I don't know. 14 -- putting it on the Fidelity system? 15 I don't know. 16 Α 17 Does the Cashiering Department make entries immediately when they receive payments? 18 19 Α I don't know what their process is. Okay. Is there a policy manual or a guide for 20 0 21 them in terms of how quickly they are supposed to 22 reflect transactions on the Fidelity system? 23 I know there are time line requirements, yes. Okay. Do you know anything about those time 24 0 25 line requirements?



	Page 30
1	A Not specifically, no.
2	Q Okay. Who would have more information about
3	that?
4	A Rachel Curtis.
5	Q And you're not in charge of developing those
6	time line requirements, right?
7	A No.
8	Q Same question for the Escrow Department, do
9	you know how quickly they would reflect the change on
10	the Fidelity system after they had, for example, paid
11	out homeowner's insurance?
12	A No, I don't.
13	Q Do they have a policy manual or a policy guide
14	on that?
15	A I could only speculate.
16	Q So you don't know?
17	A No.
18	Q And besides Lucy Lange, anybody who would have
19	more information on that?
20	A She would be a good resource.
21	Q Okay. Can you tell me about the quality
22	control processes on the Fidelity system?
23	MR. ELLISON: Object to the form.
24	You can answer.
25	THE WITNESS: For what?



		Page 31
1	BY MR. ZAG	CKS:
2	Q	For verifying that numbers were correct? How
3	does your	company verify that numbers in the Fidelity
4	system are	e correct?
5	А	Each department has their own standards in
6	audits.	
7	Q	Okay. So what are the standards in audits in
8	the Cashi	ering Department?
9	А	I don't know.
10	Q	What are the standards in audits in the Escrow
11	Departmen	t?
12	А	I don't know.
13	Q	What about in-house Legal Department, what are
14	their star	ndards in audits for the Fidelity system?
15	А	I don't know.
16	Q	And for your department, what are the
17	standards	in audits?
18	А	We have certain processes that are audited.
19	Q	What processes are those?
20	А	Our proof of claims are audited.
21	Q	Anything else?
22	А	Our time line for motion for reliefs.
23	Q	Anything else?
24	А	Time lines for notices of default.
25	Q	Okay. Anything else?



	Page 32
1	A Our Chapter XI cramdowns.
2	Q Anything else?
3	A The new case setup.
4	Q You mean new bankruptcy case setup?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Anything else?
7	A I'm thinking of the audit questions. Give me
8	a second. I can't think of any right now.
9	Q At the time you were signing affidavits of
10	indebtedness and other documents related to
11	foreclosures, were you in charge of the standards in
12	audits for the Fidelity system?
13	MR. ELLISON: For which department?
14	MR. ZACKS: For any department.
15	THE WITNESS: The auditing is comes from a
16	separate department for all departments. We don't
17	audit ourselves. It's audited by another
18	independent group.
19	BY MR. ZACKS:
20	Q Okay. And what was that what's the name of
21	that group?
22	A I've always called them Auditing. I don't
23	know what their official title is.
24	Q And do you know what their policies and
25	procedures were regarding standards in audits at the



		Page 33
1	time you	were signing foreclosure documentation?
2	А	No.
3	Q	Do you know how often they would go in and
4	audit the	Fidelity records for any department?
5	А	We had monthly audits.
6	Q	For the Bankruptcy Department?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Tell me about that process. What kinds of
9	things wo	uld the audit entail?
10	А	I know they took a depending on the
11	question,	whether it was proof of claim or a motion for
12	relief, s	o depending on the question and the time line
13	they pull	ed reporting and had a random sampling of
14	accounts.	
15	Q	Do you know what percentage?
16	А	No, I don't.
17	Q	Okay. And you don't know what percentage for
18	any other	department, right?
19	А	No.
20	Q	Who is the head of the auditing group?
21	А	Kyle Giem, G-I-E-M.
22	Q	Are they in your office or another office?
23	А	Kyle is in Denver.
24	Q	Is that where the whole department is?
25	А	No. The bankruptcy auditor is in



	Page 34
1	Scotts Bluff.
2	Q What about the cashiering auditor?
3	A I don't know.
4	Q And escrow auditor?
5	A I don't know.
6	Q Do you know who the cashiering auditor is?
7	A No.
8	Q Do you know who the escrow auditor is?
9	A No.
10	Q Would Fidelity have besides the full
11	payment history, what other kinds of things would be on
12	the Fidelity system?
13	A The date the note was signed, the origination
14	balance, the principal balance, the date of default
15	or actually the contractual due date because it's not
16	always defaulted.
17	Q Anything else?
18	A In bankruptcy we had to post petition due
19	date; the contractual payment and any pending payment
20	changes; the escrow information.
21	Q What about servicing notes, would that be on
22	the Fidelity system?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Now, besides those, anything else?
25	A Yeah, there's a lot of information on



Page 35

- 1 Fidelity. I wouldn't be able to name it all.
- 2 Q You said Fidelity contains the date the note
- 3 was signed; is that right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Does it contain actual copies?
- 6 A Not in Fidelity, no.
- 7 Q Okay. So, in other words, so we are clear,
- 8 you wouldn't click on Fidelity to look at a copy of the
- 9 note; is that right?
- 10 A No. We have a different system that does
- 11 that.
- 12 Q Okay. Now, servicing notes, is that a
- 13 different department than Cashiering, than Escrow?
- 14 A Anybody can make a servicing note.
- Q Okay. So any one of these departments,
- 16 Cashiering, Escrow, Bankruptcy, they can make a change
- 17 to the Fidelity records; is that right?
- 18 A We can't change the records. We can add to
- 19 them. So if I wanted to make a comment, the comment
- 20 would be added but I could not change the comment.
- 21 Q But you could add a new payment record if you
- 22 needed to?
- 23 A No.
- Q Was it password protected for certain kinds of
- 25 transactions?



Page 36 For me to do a cashiering function, I wouldn't 1 Α be able to do it. I would have to have that authority. 2. 3 Is it password protected, though, or what --It's security -- it's some type of security 4 Α level that you have. So it's not password. 5 It's either you can do it or you can't. 6 7 Have you ever -- what happens if you Q click something that you don't have authority to do it? 8 9 It won't allow you to do it. It just has a screen that says what? 10 Yeah, that you don't have the authority to do 11 Α 12 it. You said you had a different system to 13 Q actually look at notes. What system is that? 14 The notes are in Fidelity. It was the 15 documents that are in a different system. 16 17 Explain that. Q 18 MR. ELLISON: The promissory note. He's not 19 talking about the servicing notes. MR. ZACKS: Right. That's why --20 21 THE WITNESS: All right. I'm sorry. What are 22 we talking about? All right. The promissory note 23 is in the document viewer system. BY MR. ZACKS: 24 Is that wholly separate from Fidelity or what 25



	Page 37		
1	is that?		
2	A It's separate from Fidelity.		
3	Q Who maintains that system?		
4	A I don't know.		
5	Q Who's in charge of that system?		
6	A I don't know.		
7	Q Who uploads the documents on that system?		
8	A I think more than one department does.		
9	Q What departments do you know upload documents		
10	on the document viewer system?		
11	A The like when we get bankruptcy mail, they		
12	scan that in so there's a department a		
13	communication you know, a Communication Department		
14	that scans that stuff in. And then if there's one		
15	specific document say, for example, there's an		
16	assignment added then that comes from a different		
17	department.		
18	Q What department do assignments come from?		
19	A The Documents Department.		
20	Q Where is the Documents Department?		
21	A Scotts Bluff.		
22	Q Besides promissory notes and assignments, what		
23	else would be in the doc viewer system?		
24	A Any bankruptcy correspondence; any foreclosure		
25	correspondence; any miscellaneous customer letters; the		



Page 38 origination documents. 1 Besides foreclosure correspondence, 2 3 origination documents and letters from customers, and promissory notes and assignments of mortgage, anything 4 5 else that would be on the doc viewer system? I don't know all the document names. There's 6 Α 7 hundreds. 0 What would you consider foreclosure 8 9 correspondence? Α It's not for me to determine that. 10 determined by a different department. 11 What department is that? Q

- 12
- The people that scan the documents in. 13 Α
- Which would be the Communications Department, 14 0
- the Documents Department or some other department, 15
- right? 16
- 17 Α Right.
- What kinds of documents would you consider 18
- 19 foreclosure correspondence?
- Α I would say any correspondence from the 20
- 21 customer regarding their foreclosure, if they had a
- question about it and they asked that question in 22
- 23 writing; any third party authorizations. I would say
- the majority of the communication that happens while the 24
- account is in foreclosure. 25



	Page 39
1	Q Okay. Is the document viewer system separate
2	also from the LPS desktop management system?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. What's in the LPS desktop management
5	system?
6	A Communication to the law firms.
7	Q Anything else?
8	A Milestones.
9	Q Is that your own internal milestones or is
10	that for MERS-only loans?
11	A I'm sorry?
12	Q Milestones, is that Aurora specific or is that
13	only for mortgages that were on Mortgage Electronic
14	Registration Systems, Inc.'s, registry? Is that only
15	internal to Aurora or is that only for Mortgage
16	Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.'s, registry?
17	A Totally separate, different. They are timely
18	milestones.
19	Q Okay. Besides correspondence and besides the
20	milestones, anything else on the LPS desktop management
21	system?
22	MR. ELLISON: Object to the form.
23	You can answer.
24	MR. ZACKS: What's wrong with the form?
25	MR. ELLISON: She didn't say, correspondence.



Page 40 She said, communications to law firms. 1 BY MR. ZACKS: 2. 3 You can answer. Yeah, they have documents in that -- either 4 documents from us or we would get documents from them 5 through LPS. 6 From? 0 Α The law firm. 8 And by "law firm," you're talking about 9 outside foreclosure counsel; is that right? 10 Correct. 11 Α Or could it be any other kind of counsel, or 12 bankruptcy counsel? 13 Α 14 Yes. Besides correspondence -- sorry, 15 communications to and from your attorneys, milestones 16 and any documents to and from outside counsel, anything 17 18 else on the LPS desktop management system? 19 Α There's an intercom system where you could communicate directly to the firm. 20 21 0 Okay. Anything else? Those are primarily the things that I use. 22 23 don't -- I'm sure there's more things to it. Okay. So besides the intercom system, 24 Q communications to and from outside foreclosure and 25



Page 41 bankruptcy counsel, and milestones and any documents to 1 and from outside counsel, sitting here today you don't 2 3 know of any other documents on the LPS desktop management system? 4 Loss mitigation occasionally will. 5 Α Anything else? Not that I'm aware. Α When would the intercom system come into play? 8 What kinds of things would that be used for? 9 It's a myriad of things. 10 Α 11 0 When have you used the intercom system? When they've notified us of a -- the need for 12 Α a witness. 13 Information on the intercom system, would that Q 14 be reflected in the consolidated notes log, or not? 15 Yes. 16 Α What kinds of things are on the milestones? 17 Well, we call them steps. And it's all time 18 Α 19 line management, time line driven, based on the process. So what do you mean by that? In a typical 20 0 21 foreclosure case, what kinds of things would you see on

entered; if bidding instructions were sent; when the

If an NOD was sent; if the judgment was

this time line management?

foreclosure sale had taken place.

22

23

24

25



Page 42 And who -- is the LPS desktop management 1 0 system maintained by one department? 2 3 I don't know. Okay. Is there one department that's 4 0 primarily in charge of making entries on the LPS desktop 5 6 management system? 7 Well, no. The Bankruptcy Department makes Α entries in the LPS desktop. Foreclosure, loss 8 mitigation, that's primarily what we use it for. 9 And you said in the course of your duties when 10 you were signing affidavits you would review the LPS 11 desktop management system and the Fidelity system. 12 would you look at the LPS desktop management system for? 13 Α To see if there was any outstanding issues. 14 What do you mean by that? 15 The attorneys can raise issues to see if, you 16 17 know -- for various reasons. So depending on the outstanding issue, we would take action based on what 18 their request was. 19 Besides reviewing LPS desktop management for 20 0 21 attorney-raised issues, is there any other reason you would review that system prior to signing an affidavit? 22 23 The steps and the time lines are all written back to our servicing records. 24 25 0 Who has access to the Fidelity system; just



		Page 43
1	people at Aurora or anyone else?	
2	A Our servicing system?	
3	Q Right.	
4	A As far as I know, it's all it's or	nly Aurora
5	employees.	
6	Q So	
7	A Can we take a break?	
8	MR. ZACKS: Yes.	
9	(Recess.)	
10	BY MR. ZACKS:	
11	Q You understand you are still under or	ath,
12	right?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Where we left off was access to the	Fidelity
15	system. And you stated only Aurora employees	have
16	access to that; is that right?	
17	A As far as I know, yes.	
18	Q Okay. Do any sub servicers that Auro	ora might
19	hire have access to that?	
20	A I don't know.	
21	Q Okay. Do your attorneys have access	to that?
22	A Not direct access.	
23	Q So they have indirect access?	
24	A Well, we'll when we place an accor	unt with
25	them, we push over screens from Fidelity so the	ey don't



Page 44 actually -- they can't access it. They can only view 1 the screens that we pushed over. 3 Okay. So to clarify, they don't log into the 4 system? 5 Α No. When you say, "push over," you mean 6 7 essentially screen shots? 8 Α Yes. On the Fidelity system, you said that would 9 contain all the payment records, right? 10 Α 11 Yes. Would that contain payment records from 12 Q previous servicers, if there were any? 13 I don't think so. Α 14 Where would those records be? 15 They are in a separate -- they're stored 16 Α 17 separately. Is it a separate database system? 18 Q Yeah. 19 Α 20 Okay. Q 21 Α Yes. 22 What's that? I think it's called Doctrak. 23 Α 24 Doctrak? Q 25 Α D-O-C-T-R-A-K.



	Page 45
1	Q In your course of signing affidavits of
2	indebtedness, did you ever review the Doctrack system?
3	A No.
4	Q Who is in charge of maintaining the Doctrack
5	system?
6	A I don't know.
7	Q Who is in charge of the standards in audits
8	for the Doctrak system?
9	A I don't know.
10	Q Who would have information about the Doctrak
11	system?
12	A I'm sorry?
13	Q Who would have more information about the
14	Doctrak system?
15	A I can't even tell you where to go. I can't
16	point you.
17	Q So besides the intercom system on the LPS
18	desktop management system, is there any other place
19	where attorneys would put notes on Aurora's records?
20	MR. ELLISON: Object to the form.
21	You can answer.
22	BY MR. ZACKS:
23	Q You can answer.
24	A Within the processes, there's dropdowns. They
25	call them DDFs.



	Page 46
1	Q What's that?
2	A That's a I don't know what it stands for.
3	Q What would DDF say or mean?
4	A Well, I'll give you an example in the
5	bankruptcy side, where if it was a plan review there
6	would be a DDF about what they reviewed; was there any
7	verbiage in there that wasn't correct or not correct
8	but that would be adverse to was there any cramdown
9	language; was there, you know so there's questions
10	within the DDF that they can answer. And then
11	usually or sometimes; not usually. Sometimes there's
12	a free form field that they can type.
13	Q Did you ever encounter a DDF in the course of
14	your duties of signing affidavits of indebtedness?
15	A Not that I recall.
16	Q What about for signing responses to discovery?
17	A I don't remember.
18	Q And verifications of complaints?
19	A I don't remember.
20	Q Now, you said prior to signing an affidavit
21	you would get that from the person who distributes
22	documents, usually Amber Smoot; right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you receive anything else from Amber,
25	besides the affidavit itself?



Page 47 Receive any? 1 Α She drops off an affidavit on your 2 0 Sure. 3 desk, right? Α Yes. 4 Is there anything else, along with that 5 0 affidavit, that she would normally drop off for you? 6 7 Α I don't understand what you're asking me. don't know what --8 Sure. Would she drop off, you know, the 9 origination file attached to the affidavit, or --10 Α 11 No. Would there be anything attached to that 12 affidavit? 13 Α Sometimes the -- no. I would just be 14 speculating. I don't remember. 15 Along with the affidavit, would there be any 16 specific instructions for you to sign or review or 17 anything like that? 18 19 Α No. 20 Just the affidavit itself? 0 21 Α Yes. Okay. Same question for signing discovery, 22 23 was it just a copy of the discovery itself or did you get anything attached with that? 24 I don't recall. 25 Α



Page 48 And same question, any instructions along with 1 Q the discovery to sign? 2 3 No instructions. And finally, for the verification, what would 4 you get with the verification, if anything? 5 Α The exhibits. 6 What would those be? If there was any -- if they referred to any 8 Α exhibits, they would be attached. 9 0 Anything else? 10 11 MR. ELLISON: You have to say, yes or no. THE WITNESS: No. 12 BY MR. ZACKS: 13 And any instructions for verification? 14 0 15 No. So you would get these from Amber Smoot. 16 would you know that she was properly instructed to bring 17 these then to your desk? 18 19 Α She was just the messenger. I don't -- I don't know how she was instructed. 20 So you don't know who would tell Amber Smoot 21 to bring those documents to your desk? 22 23 MR. ELLISON: Object to the form. You can answer. 24 25 MR. ZACKS: I'm sorry. What's wrong with the



	Page 49
1	form?
2	MR. ELLISON: The way you phrased it, it
3	assumed the prior answer said that she didn't know
4	who did it, and that wasn't the way the question
5	and answer before it went.
6	So you can answer if you want, unless he wants
7	to rephrase.
8	BY MR. ZACKS:
9	Q Do you know if anyone instructed Amber Smoot
10	to drop documents, such as affidavits, discovery or
11	complaints, onto your desk?
12	A I would say it was the person that was in
13	charge of the entire process, yes.
14	Q And who was that?
15	A At the time it was Whytnie Nordman.
16	Q And what was her title?
17	A She was the foreclosure manager.
18	Q Did you ever see Whytnie Nordman instruct
19	Amber Smoot to drop documents off on your desk?
20	A No.
21	Q Did you ever see any documentation of such a
22	request?
23	A No.
24	Q Is Whytnie Nordman still the foreclosure
25	manager?



	Page 50
1	A She's no longer with the company.
2	Q Why did she leave?
3	A I don't know.
4	Q Do you know where she works now?
5	A No.
6	Q Who prepares the answers to interrogatories
7	that you sign or that you used to sign?
8	A Counsel.
9	Q Outside counsel?
10	A Uh-huh. Yes.
11	Q And who prepares verifications of complaints
12	for you to sign, when you used to sign them?
13	A Counsel.
14	Q Outside counsel?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Who's in charge of retaining outside counsel?
17	A Depends on what process.
18	Q For foreclosures, who's in charge of retaining
19	outside counsel?
20	A Cheryl Marchant.
21	Q Do you know about how notes are ordinarily
22	kept by Aurora?
23	A I beg your pardon?
24	Q Sure. Do you know about the custodial
25	policies of Aurora in terms of storing promissory notes?



		Page 51
1	A	No.
2	Q	Do you know who would have more information
3	about tha	t?
4	A	Regina Lashley.
5	Q	What department is she in?
6	A	She's the vice president over the Documents
7	Group; L-	A-S-H-L-E-Y.
8	Q	So you don't know how promissory notes are
9	ordinaril	y kept by Aurora?
10	A	No.
11	Q	You don't know the procedures in terms of
12	storing n	otes at Aurora?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Do you know about whether electronic copies of
15	the note	are maintained by Aurora?
16	A	They are at our yes.
17	Q	And that's in the
18	A	The document viewer system.
19	Q	And that would be uploaded by the
20	Documents	Group, or someone else?
21	A	It depends on the document.
22	Q	Okay. Who would upload a promissory note onto
23	the docum	ment viewer system?
24	A	I'm not sure.
25	Q	You've looked at promissory notes before on



	Page 52
1	the document system, right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Are they color copies?
4	A No.
5	Q You said when you verify complaints you look
6	at the verification and any exhibits; is that right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Anything else?
9	A Not unless I had a question.
10	Q So besides the verification language itself
11	and the exhibits to the complaint, there's nothing else
12	you look at prior to signing a verification of a
13	complaint; is that right?
14	A Well, my focus was on the figures. I
15	didn't I counted on counsel to have the language
16	right.
17	Q What kind of would you review the exhibits
18	to a verification to verify?
19	MR. ELLISON: Object to the form.
20	MR. ZACKS: You can answer.
21	MR. ELLISON: You can answer the question.
22	THE WITNESS: Okay. Just mostly to see that
23	they were the right documents with the right so
24	that the document matched the complaint or
25	whatever.



Page 53 BY MR. ZACKS: 1 Okay. What kinds of things did you look to 0 3 match up? Α The names. 4 5 Anything else? 0 The address, if there was -- if it was 6 Α 7 provided. Anything else? 8 Q No, not that I recall. 9 So when verifying a complaint, you would look 10 at the exhibits to the complaint to match up the name 11 and the address and nothing else; is that right? 12 MR. ELLISON: Object to the form. 13 BY MR. ZACKS: 14 15 You can answer. Of the customer, yes. 16 Α 17 MR. ZACKS: What was wrong with the form? MR. ELLISON: I don't even remember the 18 19 question. 20 MR. ZACKS: Sure. I'll re-ask it and see if 21 maybe it clears it up a little bit. 22 BY MR. ZACKS: You said when you verified a complaint you 23 would look at the attachments, or the exhibits, to match 24 up the name and the address of the customer; is that 25



Page 54 right? 1 Yes; if the address was on there, yes. 3 Sure. And besides reviewing exhibits to match up the name and the address, is there anything else you 4 would look at the exhibits for? 5 6 Α No. 7 How would you look at the exhibits; on the computer system? 8 If they were attached, I would. 9 Okay. And I'm assuming it was outside counsel 10 who was in charge of putting that package together? 11 Yes. 12 Α Do you review any of the information in the 13 Q referral package that is sent to the attorney? 14 It's a standard form. 15 Is that on your computer systems, the referral 16 0 17 package? It's not on -- it's not on Fidelity, 18 Α Yes. 19 It's in the desktop management system. And besides reviewing the exhibits and the 20 21 verification language itself, is there anything else you 22 look at prior to verifying a complaint? 23 Well, I didn't really focus on the language. It was just make sure that we have the right customer 24 with the right complaint, and the figures were our 25



Page 55 focus. 1 Okay. So now, other than the verification and 0 3 the exhibits, there's nothing else you reviewed prior to verifying complaints? 4 5 Not unless there was a question, no. Α Okay. You're aware that sometimes Aurora has 6 7 claimed in court that it has misplaced or lost original notes; is that right? 8 I'm not -- I don't have firsthand knowledge of 9 that, no. 10 Have you ever performed a search for a 11 0 misplaced original promissory note? 12 Α No. 13 Do you know who does? 14 It would be the Documents Group. 15 Anyone else? 16 0 17 Α Not that I'm aware. Ever seen notes about such a search for a lost 18 0 19 or misplaced original promissory note? In some cases, yes. 20 Α 21 Okay. And what kinds of things would be 22 contained in the notes? 23 I don't know. I don't remember. Would it describe a search or would it simply 24 say, can't find a note right now? 25



Page 56 No, it wouldn't describe the search. 1 Α Do you know about the process for looking for 2 3 notes, such as where the Documents Group might look? Α No. 4 5 Okay. Do you know where Aurora's vault of 0 promissory notes is? 6 It's in Scotts Bluff, Nebraska. 7 Is there any other location that contains 8 0 9 promissory notes? 10 Α That belongs to Aurora? 11 0 Yes. No, not that I'm aware. 12 Α So Regina Lashley, she's in Scotts Bluff? 13 Q Yes. 14 Α So if a promissory note could not be located, 15 she would be the person in charge of looking for it? 16 17 Α Yes. I asked you earlier about the notarization 18 19 process for affidavits of indebtedness. Was that the same for when you signed discovery responses? 20 21 Α I beg your pardon? 22 Sure. You described to me earlier the process 23 of how documents you sign get notarized, right? 24 Α Yes. Yes. That was in the context of affidavits that you 25



Page 57 signed? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Was that process the same for when you signed 0 responses to discovery? 4 5 Α Yes. Were there ever any witnesses on documents you 6 7 would sign in connection with foreclosures? MR. ELLISON: Separate from the notary? 8 BY MR. ZACKS: 9 10 Yes, separate from the notary. 0 11 Α Yes. Who were those? 12 0 Α I don't know what you mean. It could be 13 anybody. 14 Was it just -- sure. In other words, 15 sometimes there may have been casual viewers who might 16 have seen you sign something. But were there ever times 17 when you said, I need a witness on this to watch me sign 18 this? 19 I've said that, yes, only if there was a 20 21 request for a witness on the documents. What kinds of documents? 22 23 I don't remember the names of the documents. So you didn't personally draft any affidavits; 24 Q is that right? 25



Page 58 No. 1 Α So you would not input, for example, who owns 2 the loan, right? 3 4 Α No. Aurora is a servicer of loans and an owner of 5 Q loans, right? 6 7 It's possible, yes. Do you know what percentage is actually owned 8 Q by Aurora? 9 10 Α I have no idea. Do you know who would have more knowledge of 11 12 that? I don't know. 13 Α How do you determine whether a loan is owned 14 by Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae? 15 There's a screen on our servicing system that 16 17 says. Would you look at that each time before 18 19 signing an affidavit? 20 Α No. 21 Would you look at that each time before signing a verification of a complaint? 22 23 Α No. And would you look at that each time before 24 Q signing responses to discovery? 25



Page 59 No. 1 Α Would you agree that on many affidavits of 2 3 indebtedness, Aurora will sometimes say who the owner of a loan is? 4 5 MR. ELLISON: Object to the form. BY MR. ZACKS: 6 7 Did you ever see language in an affidavit that 0 said Aurora owns a certain loan? 8 9 Α Yes. Okay. And did you -- and you've already said 10 you wouldn't check to see if Fannie Mae or Freddie owned 11 that loan, right? 12 Α No. 13 Okay. Would you check to see if anybody else 14 owned that loan? 15 16 No. Α 17 Do you know if anyone did? Q I don't know. 18 Α 19 Did you ever verify a complaint that had a count that said a note was lost? 20 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. And did you look for the note yourself? 23 Α No. Did you talk to anyone about looking for the 24 Q 25 note?



Page 60 1 Α No. What did you do to verify that a note was lost 2 or misplaced? 3 Α Not usually anything. 4 5 Okay. So you wouldn't check with the 0 documents department to see if, in fact, the note was 6 7 lost? I relied on the counsel to know whether 8 Α No. 9 it was or not. Your servicing notes -- or your servicing 10 0 system contains notes from the Documents Department, 11 right? 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. And those notes can include references 14 to where the original note is located, right? 15 Yes. 16 Α So if you were signing a document that said a 17 note were lost, wouldn't a logical place to look be the 18 19 notes? 20 MR. ELLISON: Dustin, we can do this off the 21 record, on the record, outside the room, it doesn't 22 matter, but in looking at the documents that she 23 actually -- that we're here on today in terms of the depositions, I don't see that any of them 24 25 actually have lost note counts in the complaints



	Page 61
1	that were here or referenced lost notes in the
2	affidavits or the interrogatories. So I think we
3	may be going outside the field of the scope that
4	the judge was talking about. I am going to give
5	you more leeway on this but I just wanted to let
6	you know that I think we are going off the track a
7	little bit in terms of what she signed in these
8	particular cases. But you can is there a
9	question pending? I'm sorry.
10	BY MR. ZACKS:
11	Q You can answer.
12	A I don't remember the question. I'm sorry.
13	Q Sure. You've said that your servicing system
14	has notes from the documents department, right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So wouldn't that be a logical place to look
17	for where notes or whether notes were lost?
18	A I'm not sure when they started doing that so
19	it would depend. Now it would make sense, yes. Back
20	then, I'm not sure that those notes were in there.
21	Q Okay. What about ownership or investors in
22	loans, would that be contained in your internal notes in
23	your servicing system?
24	MR. ELLISON: Object to the form.
25	You can answer.



	Page 62
1	MR. ZACKS: What's wrong with the form?
2	MR. ELLISON: You said ownership or investor.
3	So there are different choices.
4	You can answer if you want.
5	MR. ZACKS: I'll rephrase.
6	BY MR. ZACKS:
7	Q You understand "investor" in the typical
8	mortgage parlance to refer to an owner of a loan, right?
9	A I'm sorry?
10	Q Sure. How do you understand the term
11	"investor" in everyday mortgage world usage?
12	A To be the owner, yes.
13	Q To be the owner?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Do your internal servicing notes on your
16	records refer to who the investor or the owner is?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Always?
19	A Well, there are times when it would be like as
20	trustee for, but so it's not always clear.
21	Q But it's always listed?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Was that always the policy?
24	A Yes.
25	Q So there's never been a time when you looked



Page 63 1 up to see who the owner was and it was blank, for example? 2 3 Α No. And you have access to that information, 4 0 right? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 You don't need special permission to see who 0 the owner or investor is, right? 8 Α Correct. 9 Would you look at any internal servicing 10 records to determine who the owner or investor was prior 11 to signing affidavits of indebtedness? 12 Not always, no. 13 Α Okay. Ever? 14 0 15 I can't say. Okay. So sitting here today, you can't recall 16 a single instance in which you signed an affidavit of 17 indebtedness and went to your internal records to see 18 19 who the owner or investor was, right? I would only be speculating. 20 Α 21 0 Okay. So you can't recall a single instance, 22 as you sit here today? 23 Α I don't remember, no. Okay. And same question for verifications of 24 Q complaints, did you ever, in the process of verifying a 25



Page 64 complaint, look on your internal records or anywhere 1 else to determine who the owner or investor was? 2. 3 I relied on counsel to have it right. Okay. And same question for signing responses 4 0 to discovery, was there ever a time when you were 5 signing responses to discovery where you looked at your 6 7 internal records or any other records to determine who was the owner or investor of a loan? 8 No. All that information goes over at 9 Α placement. I expected them to have it right. 10 11 0 It goes over at placement, you said? 12 Α Yes. What do you mean by that? 13 Q When we refer an account to them, all that Α 14 information goes over. 15 I've got it. When you hire counsel, you mean? 16 0 17 Α Yes. You send that information along? 18 19 Α Yes. Who sends that information to them? 20 0 21 Α Depending on the process, it's all automatic. It's not for who; it is just the system automatically 22 23 does it. I see. So do you know if anybody checks on 24 0 that at the time of the referral? 25



Page 65 It's from Fidelity to the LPS. 1 Α It's a screen 2 that goes over. 3 Did anybody ever tell you, in the course of your duties of signing affidavits and discovery and 4 verifications, that you should look to see who the owner 5 or investor was on your internal records? 6 7 Α Ever? Yes. 8 0 Yes. 9 Α When was that? 10 11 Α Later, after I signed these documents. And who was that? Who told you? 12 0 MR. ELLISON: As counsel, obviously, we have 13 privilege questions and we're not interested in 14 waiving the privilege at this point in time. 15 BY MR. ZACKS: 16 17 Sure. Besides conversations between yourself Q and your counsel, or that have been shared with counsel, 18 19 did anybody else tell you that you should look to see who the owner or investor was prior to signing these 20 21 documents? Not when I was signing those documents, no. 22 23 If you found an error in an affidavit, a verification or a response to a discovery, what would 24 25 you do?



Page 66 It would depend on what the error was. 1 Α Okay. Let's say a number was wrong on an 2 3 affidavit of indebtedness, what would you do? I would go back to the processor or the 4 foreclosure supervisor and ask them to re-check it. 5 How many affidavits have you had to send back? 6 Q I don't know. Α Was it a very rare occasion for you to do 8 0 9 that? I don't know what you call rare. 10 Α MR. ELLISON: Cool, red center. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 12 MR. ELLISON: 13 Sorry. BY MR. ZACKS: 14 What about for verification, if you saw 15 something wrong, what would you do? 16 Same thing, take it back to the supervisor. 17 Α What kinds of things have you found wrong in 18 verifications? 19 I don't remember specifics. 20 Α 21 Do you remember a single instance you've sent a verification back to be corrected? 22 23 Α I don't remember, no. Do you remember a single instance when you 24 0 sent back an affidavit of indebtedness to be corrected? 25



		Page 67
1	A	A specific account, no.
2	Q	But you know that it has happened?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	More than once?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	More than ten times?
7	A	I don't know.
8	Q	Okay. Same question for signing responses to
9	discovery	, if you found an error there, would you send
10	it to a f	oreclosure processor to correct it?
11	A	Responses to discovery are more legal language
12	so I coun	ted on counsel to have those rights. I didn't
13	speculate	whether those were right or wrong.
14	Q	Okay. So did you ever send back a response to
15	discovery	that you needed to sign because something was
16	wrong?	
17	A	I have since this but not before that, no.
18	Q	Take me through the process of signing
19	responses	to discovery. Same process, the document
20	person wo	uld come and drop it on your desk?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Would you have any specific instructions?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Would you look at the intercom system, for
25	example,	to see if there were any specific instructions?



Page 68 1 Α No. Same process with the notary as any other 2 3 document you might sign? Α Yes. 4 5 What documents would you look at in connection 0 with signing responses to discovery? 6 7 If there was any attachments, that was it. Α And if there were no attachments, what would 8 0 9 you look at? 10 Α I wouldn't have any reason. So unless there were attachments to responses 11 0 to discovery that you were signing, you would not look 12 at anything besides the discovery itself; is that right? 13 I counted on counsel to have the Α 14 No. documentation correct. 15 Okay. So it is right that except for exhibits 16 that were attached, you would not look at anything, 17 18 right? 19 Α Right. Okay. Before you stopped signing documents, 20 0 21 you had full access to ownership and investor 22 information on Aurora's internal records, right? 23 Α Full access, no. You had access to see who the owner or 24 25 investor was?



Page 69 1 Α Yes. When you say, not full access, what do you 3 mean? I wouldn't be able to change it or alter it. 4 5 Okay. But you didn't have to, say, get 0 permission to look on your records to see who the owner 6 was, right? 7 Α No. 8 How many other people were signing responses 9 to discovery in your office? 10 I don't know. 11 Α Do you know of anyone else who was, besides 12 yourself? 13 Α I don't know what documents they signed. 14 Besides interrogatories, did you sign any 15 other responses to discovery? 16 17 Α I don't recall. And during the time you were signing responses 18 19 to discovery, did anybody ever tell you that it might be a good idea to check on who the owner or investor is? 20 21 Α No. 22 You stated attorneys put in the information on 23 affidavits of indebtedness, right? Α Yes. 24 Do they have -- they only have access to the 25



		Page 70
1	screen sh	ots you sent them?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	So if they wanted to check out some of the
4	numbers o	r see what's behind the numbers or go deeper
5	into that	, they could not do that; is that right?
6	A	They would have to ask for new print screens.
7	Q	Do you know what records or what screen shots
8	you would	typically give to outside counsel?
9	A	I don't know them all, no.
10	Q	Do you know who would have more information on
11	that?	
12	A	The foreclosure supervisors would know that.
13	Q	And that was sorry. Who was that? Is that
14	Angela Martinez?	
15	A	No. She's not with the company either.
16	Q	Who is the foreclosure supervisor?
17		MR. ELLISON: Who is or who was?
18	BY MR. ZACKS:	
19	Q	Who are they now?
20	A	Who are they now?
21	Q	Yes.
22	A	Michele Rice.
23	Q	Anyone else?
24	A	She's the VP.
25	Q	Is she in charge of the Foreclosure



		Page 71	
1	Department?		
2	А	Yes.	
3	Q	Anybody else in charge of that department?	
4	А	She reports to Cheryl Marchant.	
5	Q	Who was in charge of the Foreclosure	
6	Departmen	t at the time you were signing these documents?	
7	А	Whytnie Nordman, and at the time she reported	
8	to Cheryl	Marchant.	
9	Q	That Foreclosure Department, how many people	
10	are in th	at department?	
11	А	I don't know.	
12	Q	Do they work in the same building as you?	
13	А	Yes, but I never counted the seats.	
14	Q	Got you. More than ten?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	More than 100?	
17	A	I don't know. I don't no, not more than	
18	100.		
19	Q	Okay. Now, you are in charge of the	
20	Bankruptcy Department, right?		
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	Is there any reason you would sign documents	
23	in foreclosure cases, or was it just as the need arose?		
24	A	When I worked at HSBC, I was a foreclosure	
25	manager.	I started out as a foreclosure processor,	



		Page 72	
1	became a	foreclosure manager. They were aware of my	
2	experience.		
3	Q	Are you an officer of any other corporation?	
4	А	MERS.	
5	Q	What's your title?	
6	А	VP, vice president.	
7	Q	When did you get that appointment?	
8	А	Shortly after I started working for Aurora.	
9	Q	Okay. Which was?	
10	А	March of 2008.	
11	Q	Okay. Who appointed you from MERS to be	
12	vice president?		
13	А	I don't know.	
14	Q	Sorry. For because we talked over each	
15	other, wl	no appointed you from MERS to be their	
16	16 vice president?		
17	А	I don't know.	
18	Q	Okay. So do you sign documents as	
19	vice pre	sident of MERS?	
20	А	Do I now? No.	
21	Q	You did you have in the past?	
22	А	Yes.	
23	Q	What kinds of documents?	
24	А	If the action was taken in the name of MERS.	
25	Q	Do you know where MERS is headquartered?	



		Page 73
1	А	Delaware.
2	Q	Do you report to anybody at MERS?
3	А	No.
4	Q	When you were signing these documents,
5	affidavit	ts, verifications and responses to discovery,
6	your comp	pany was known as Aurora Loan Services, LLC; is
7	that righ	nt?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Now they are known as Aurora Bank FSB?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	When did that switchover occur?
12	А	July 21st, 2011.
13	Q	Okay. Have your duties changed because of
14	that swit	cchover?
15	А	No.
16	Q	Did people in your department get shuffled
17	around be	ecause of that changeover?
18	А	No.
19	Q	So does Aurora Loan Services, LLC, exist
20	anymore?	
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	They do exist?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	So they didn't merge with Aurora Bank FSB?
25		MR. ELLISON: This is not the correct witness



Page 74 to talk about the structure of the two companies, 1 but she is correct that there are still two 2. 3 companies. BY MR. ZACKS: 4 5 0 You can answer. Ask me the question again. I'm sorry? 6 7 Like I said, on any of these if you don't know 0 tell me you don't know. So Aurora Loan Services, LLC, 8 and Aurora Bank, they didn't merge? 9 10 Α No. So you're employed now by Aurora Bank FSB? 11 0 Correct. 12 Α Do you know anybody who's employed by 13 Aurora Loan Services, LLC, at the present time? 14 I don't know -- I don't know where the lines 15 are all drawn so I don't know the answer to that 16 17 question. In any of the cases we're here on today, and I 18 0 19 can read them, the titles for them if you want me to, is Aurora Loan Services, LLC, the servicer for those loans? 20 21 MR. ELLISON: As of today? 22 MR. ZACKS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I think -- I 23 don't know. 24 25



Page 75 BY MR. ZACKS: 1 Okay. Would it help if I asked the individual 0 3 cases or would you still --It wouldn't matter. I don't know. 4 Α 5 Okay. Who would have more information on 0 that? 6 7 The foreclosure --Α Foreclosure supervisor? 8 Q Yeah. Yes. 9 Α Some of these cases we're going to talk about 10 today involve mortgage backed securities, right? 11 Uh-huh. Yes. 12 Α Do you know about Aurora's policy in terms of 13 Q custody of notes, whether they always keep them if a 14 note has been securitized? Do you know anything about 15 those procedures? 16 17 Α I'm not the one to ask about those procedures, 18 no. 19 Q Okay. That would be Regina Lashley, right? 20 Α Yes. 21 MR. ELLISON: About the notes? 22 MR. ZACKS: Right. 23 BY MR. ZACKS: And you wouldn't know anything about access to 24 Q 25 Aurora's vault where the promissory notes are held?



Page 76 I know there's additional security to get to 1 Α the vault, but that's all I know. 2. 3 Okay. At the time you were signing affidavits and verifications in responses to discovery, were there 4 any written policies as to best practices on those kinds 5 of activities? 6 Not that I'm aware, no. Did you receive any instruction on best 8 0 practices for signing those kinds of documents? 9 10 Α No. Did you give any instructions on best 11 0 practices for signing those kinds of documents? 12 Α Let me back up. Are we talking about 13 No. just foreclosure documents or are you talking about 14 bankruptcy documents? 15 Foreclosure documents. 16 17 Α Okay. Then, no. Do you know for how long a period of time you 18 19 were signing affidavits and verifications and responses to discovery for Aurora? 20 21 I don't remember the date I stopped --22 Was it --23 -- so I don't know. Sorry to interrupt. Was it -- did you begin 24 Q 25 signing as soon as you were hired?



Page 77 I would say within 30 days of when I got 1 Α hired. 2 3 Okay. And you said by your best estimate it was last year sometime you stopped signing these kinds 4 5 of documents? 6 Α Yes. 7 Okay. Would you say you were primarily 0 responsible for signing these kinds of documents? 8 9 Α No. Do you know how many other people were signing 10 11 them? I don't know. There would be days I wouldn't 12 Α 13 get any. And then what would be an extreme day for you Q 14 in terms of how many affidavits came on your desk? 15 I don't know. I never counted them. 16 Α 17 More than ten? Q I don't know. 18 Α 19 0 There were days you received on your desk to sign more than one document, right? 20 21 Α Yes. Okay. And the final question is just -- we 22 23 may have covered it earlier, but in terms of how it was determined that you would sign these and not someone 24 else, either in your department or in the Foreclosure 25



	Page 78
1	Department, do you know anything about how that was
2	determined?
3	A Other than officer, I don't know.
4	Q Okay. Would it be Cheryl Marchant who has
5	information about who was assigned to sign certain
6	documents?
7	A Or the foreclosure manager.
8	MR. ZACKS: Okay. I'm finished. We can take
9	a break.
10	(Recess.)
11	MR. ELLISON: I have no questions relative to
12	the generalized part at this point in time, and to
13	the extent that this is separate in some fashion,
14	we will read. And that's going to be the case with
15	each one of the depositions, we will read. We are
16	not going to waive.
17	(Deposition was concluded.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



	Page 79
1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	THE STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
4	
5	I, Melinda Colchico, Florida Professional
6	Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that
7	Neva Hall personally appeared before me on 4th of
8	August, 2011 and was duly sworn.
9	
10	Signed this 8th day of August, 2011.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Melinda Colchico
	Notary Public-State of Florida
16	My Commission Expires: July 2, 2012
	My Commission No.: #DD 802716
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



Page 80 CERTIFICATE 1 2 THE STATE OF FLORIDA 3 COUNTY OF PALM BEACH 4 5 I, Melinda Colchico, Florida Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was 6 authorized to and did stenographically report the deposition of Neva Hall; that a review of the 7 transcript was requested; and that the foregoing 8 transcript, pages 1 through 78, is a true record of my stenographic notes. 9 I further certify that said deposition was 10 taken at the time and place hereinabove set forth and that the taking of said deposition was commenced 11 and completed as hereinabove set out. 12 I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, 13 nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. 14 15 The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any 16 means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter. 17 18 Signed this 8th day of August, 2011. 19 20 21 22 23 24 MELINDA COLCHICO 25



DATE: August 8, 2011 TO: MS. NEVA HALL

c/o Steven Ellison, Esq.

Broad and Cassel

One Clematis Street, Suite 500 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

IN RE: Aurora v. Cianciotto, et al; Purificato,

et al; Macklin, et al; Ayala, et al; Pimentel,

et al; McGrady, et al.

CASE NOS.: 502008CA031809XXXXMB,

502010CA018934XXXXMB, 502009CA043926XXXXMB, 502009CA016797XXXXMB, 502008CA034279XXXXMB,

502010CA011243XXXXMB AW

Please take notice that on Thursday, the 4th of August, 2011, you gave your deposition in the above-referred matter. At that time, you did not waive signature. It is now necessary that you sign your deposition.

Please call our office at the below-listed number to schedule an appointment between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at our office.

If you do not read and sign the deposition within a reasonable time, the original, which has already been forwarded to the ordering attorney, may be filed with the Clerk of the Court. If you wish to waive your signature, sign your name in the blank at the bottom of this letter and return it to us.

Very truly yours,

Melinda Colchico
J. Consor & Associates

1655 Palm Beach Lakes Blvd., Suite 500 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

I do hereby waive my signature.

Neva Hall

I do hereby waive my signature:

Cc: Via transcript: DUSTIN ZACKS, ESQ.

STEVEN ELLISON, ESQ.

File copy



	Page 82
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	THE STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
5	I hereby certify that I have read the foregoing
6	deposition by me given, and that the statements
7	contained herein are true and correct to the best of
8	my knowledge and belief, with the exception of any
9	corrections or notations made on the errata sheet,
10	if one was executed.
11	
12	Signed this day of, 2011.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
	NEVA HALL
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



	Page 83
1 2	ERRATA SHEET IN RE: AURORA V. CIANCIOTTO, PURIFICATO, MACKLIN, AYALA, PIMENTEL and MCCGRADY
3 4 5	DEPOSITION OF: NEVA HALL TAKEN: August 4, 2011 DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES HERE PAGE # LINE # CHANGE REASON
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Please forward the original signed errata sheet to this office so that copies may be distributed to all
19 20	parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct
21	subject to any changes in form or substance entered here.
22	DATE:
23	SIGNATURE OF
24 25	DEPONENT:



				rage o
	26:1 32:9	anymore 73:20	22:14 54:14	authorizations
	42:11 45:1	anymore 73.20 anyway 18:18	80:12,13 81:14	38:23
able 35:1 36:2	46:14 49:10	APPEARAN	attorneys 22:14	authorized 80:6
69:4	56:19,25 57:24	2:1	40:16 42:16	authorized 80.0
above-referred	59:2 61:2	appeared 79:7	43:21 45:19	28:25 29:8
81:9	63:12 65:4	applicable 4:19	69:22	automatic 64:21
access 42:25	66:6 69:23	applicable 4.17	attorney-raised	automatic 04.21
43:14,16,19,21	73:5 76:3,19	apply 80.13	42:21	27:23 64:22
43:22,23 44:1	77:15	72:15	attorney/client	Autumn 27:12
63:4 68:21,23	affirmed 4:9	· · · ·	12:23	27:15
68:24 69:2,25	agree 5:18 59:2	appointment 72:7 81:11		AW 1:2,2,3,3
75:24	O		audit 32:7,17	81:7
account 38:25	al 1:7,8,9,10,11	approximate	33:4,9	
43:24 64:14	1:12 81:4,5,5,5	17:2,19	audited 31:18	aware 28:5 41:7
67:1	81:5,5	area 23:23 25:14	31:20 32:17	55:6,17 56:12
accounts 33:14	allow 36:9	areas 10:11 20:8	auditing 32:15	72:1 76:7
act 23:6	alter 69:4	20:10	32:22 33:20	Ayala 1:10 4:25
action 42:18	Amber 25:6,7	arose 71:23	auditor 33:25	81:5 83:2
72:24 80:13,14	25:10 46:22,24	asked 38:22	34:2,4,6,8	a.m 1:17 81:12
activities 76:6	48:16,21 49:9	56:18 75:2	audits 31:6,7,10	B
actual 23:6 35:5	49:19	asking 47:7	31:14,17 32:12	
add 35:18,21	and/or 80:16	assigned 8:24	32:25 33:5	back 18:15 24:5
added 35:20	Angela 18:20,23	9:1 78:5	45:7	24:12,21 42:24
37:16	19:6 70:14	assignment	August 1:17	61:19 66:4,6
additional 10:7	Angela's 18:25	37:16	79:8,10 80:18	66:17,22,25
76:1	19:11	assignments	81:1,9 83:3	67:14 76:13
address 53:6,12	answer 5:21	11:19 37:18,22	Aurora 1:5 4:15	backed 75:11
53:25 54:2,4	8:19 9:17	38:4	4:24,25,25 5:3	balance 21:25
adverse 46:8	12:12,25 13:1	assistant 6:16	5:4,5 6:6,7,10	34:14,14
affidavit 15:2,8	13:21 24:18	8:3,22 9:13,19	6:17,20 7:13	Bank 6:6,7 73:9
15:17,20 16:14	26:22 29:5	9:23 10:2,5,13	19:20 28:8	73:24 74:9,11
20:17,19,23	30:24 39:23	11:23 16:20	39:12,15 43:1	bankruptcies
21:3 22:3 23:2	40:3 45:21,23	19:1,11	43:4,15,18	9:2 10:11
42:22 46:20,25	46:10 48:24	assisted 19:17	50:22,25 51:9	bankruptcy
47:2,6,10,13	49:3,5,6 52:20	Associates 1:23	51:12,15 55:6	7:13,17,19,21
47:16,20 58:19	52:21 53:15	81:19	56:10 58:5,9	8:4,10,16,23
59:7 63:17	61:11,25 62:4	assumed 49:3	59:3,8 72:8	10:3,5,6 17:25
65:23 66:3,25	74:5,16	assuming 8:23	73:6,9,19,24	32:4 33:6,25
affidavits 11:4,5	answering 13:6	24:12 54:10	74:8,9,11,14	34:18 35:16
,	answers 50:6	attached 47:10	74:20 76:20	37:11,24 40:13
11:6,7,8,11,12	anybody 30:18	47:12,24 48:9	81:4 83:2	41:1 42:7 46:5
11:14,21 14:12	35:14 57:14	54:9 68:17	Aurora's 45:19	71:20 76:15
14:16,21 16:6	59:14 64:24	attachments	56:5 68:22	based 41:19
17:23 18:3	65:3,19 69:19	53:24 68:7,8	75:13,25	42:18
20:12,15,21	71:3 73:2	68:11	authority 36:2,8	Beach 1:1,19,24
23:7 25:8,10			36:11	1:24 2:5,9 79:3
	74:13	attorney 13:7	30.11	1.2 5 2.3,7 77.3



				Page 85
00.604 : : :		20.5.22.55	22.5	,,,,,,,,
80:3 81:4,19	41:21 78:14	30:5 32:11	comes 32:15	11:15,24 12:3
81:20 82:4	81:6	37:5 42:5 45:4	37:16	13:18,22 14:12
beg 15:19 50:23	cases 4:20,23	45:7 49:13	coming 28:7	17:14 57:7
56:21	20:4 55:20	50:16,18 54:11	commenced	68:5
behalf 2:2,6	61:8 71:23	56:16 70:25	80:10	consider 38:8,18
4:13,15,16	74:18 75:3,10	71:3,5,19	comment 35:19	consistent 7:18
belief 82:8	cashiering 26:25	check 59:11,14	35:19,20	consolidated
believe 20:9	27:1,17,25	60:5 69:20	Commission	41:15
belongs 56:10	29:3,17 31:8	70:3	79:16,16	Consor 1:23
below-listed	34:2,6 35:13	checks 64:24	common 17:5	81:19
81:11	35:16 36:1	Cheryl 9:10,12	23:14	consult 26:2
best 76:5,8,11	Cassel 2:3 4:15	12:18 15:5,13	communicate	contain 35:5
77:3 82:7	81:3	16:1,4,5 17:22	40:20	44:10,12
bidding 41:24	casual 57:16	19:9 50:20	communication	contained 55:22
big 24:3,14,20	cause 4:5 23:3	71:4,8 78:4	37:13,13 38:24	61:22 82:7
bit 53:21 61:7	Cc 81:24	choices 62:3	39:6	contains 35:2
blank 63:1	center 66:11	Cianciotto 1:7	communicatio	56:8 60:11
81:15	certain 19:22	5:3 81:4 83:2	12:21 38:14	contested 9:7
Bluff 28:14 34:1	20:8,8 23:17	Cianciotto's 5:1	40:1,16,25	10:10
37:21 56:7,13	31:18 35:24	CIRCUIT 1:1,1	companies 74:1	context 56:25
Blvd 81:19	59:8 78:5	claim 33:11	74:3	contractual
bottom 81:15	CERTIFICA	claimed 55:7	company 14:5	34:15,19
Boulevard 1:24	79:1	claims 7:23	31:3 50:1	control 30:22
break 5:15 43:7	certification	31:20	70:15 73:6	80:16
78:9	80:15	clarify 44:3	complaint 52:11	conversations
bring 48:17,22	certify 79:6 80:6	clear 4:23 35:7	52:13,24 53:10	65:17
Broad 2:3 4:14	80:9,12 82:5	62:20	53:11,23 54:22	Cool 66:11
81:3	certifying 80:16	clears 53:21	54:25 58:22	copies 35:5
building 71:12	change 28:4	Clematis 1:18	59:19 64:1	51:14 52:3
bunch 4:13	30:9 35:16,18	2:4 81:3	complaints	83:18
business 10:4	35:20 69:4	Clerk 81:14	16:24 17:20	copy 35:8 47:23
	83:5	click 35:8 36:8	46:18 49:11	81:25
C	changed 26:15	Colchico 1:22	50:11 52:5	corporation
C 4:1 80:1,1	73:13	4:3 79:5,15	55:4 60:25	72:3
82:1,1	changeover	80:5,24 81:18	63:25	correct 13:7,8
call 24:20 41:18	73:17	collections 7:7	completed 80:11	20:13,15 28:2
45:25 66:10	changes 34:20	color 52:3	completely 16:2	31:2,4 40:11
81:11	83:4,21	Colorado 19:20	Compound	46:7,7 62:14
called 32:22	changing 26:13	19:23 20:1,2	12:15	63:9 67:10
44:23	changing 20.13	26:25	computer 54:8	68:15 73:25
capacity 7:16	Chapter 32:1	come 6:2 15:10	54:16	74:2,12 82:7
8:3 11:23	charge 8:1,8	15:17,21 23:8	concluded 78:17	83:20
card 10:4	10:11 20:7	23:12 25:7	connected 80:13	corrected 66:22
case 1:2 7:24	26:12 27:1	27:16 37:18	connection	66:25
8:15 32:3,4	28:8,11,17	41:8 67:20	10:16,20 11:1	corrections 82:9
	20.0,11,17	71.0 07.20	10.10,20 11.1	COLLECTIONS 02.9



				Page 80
		20.12.17.20.0	dealster 05:04	05.1.46:01
correspondence	D	29:12,17 30:8	desktop 25:24	25:1 46:21
13:14 37:24,25	D 2:9 3:2 4:1	31:5,8,11,13	26:5 39:2,4,20	doc 37:23 38:5
38:2,9,19,20	Daniel 1:7 5:2,3	31:16 32:13,14	40:18 41:3	Doctrack 45:2,4
39:19,25 40:15	database 44:18	32:16 33:4,6	42:1,5,8,12,13	Doctrak 44:23
counsel 12:22,24	date 12:5,7	33:18,24 35:13	42:20 45:18	44:24 45:8,10
13:2 14:2,7	13:20 21:23	37:8,12,13,17	54:19	45:14
15:15 16:5,8,9	34:13,14,15,19	37:18,19,20	determine 38:10	document 15:23
16:11 20:12	35:2 76:21	38:11,12,14,15	58:14 63:11	21:2,13 22:13
40:10,12,13,17	81:1 83:22	38:15 42:2,4,7	64:2,7	22:16 23:25
41:1,2 50:8,9	day 14:21 17:15	51:5 60:6,11	determined	24:9 36:23
50:13,14,16,19	23:17 77:14	61:14 71:1,3,6	38:11 77:24	37:10,15 38:6
52:15 54:10	79:10 80:18	71:9,10,20	78:2	39:1 51:18,21
60:8 64:3,16	82:12	73:16 77:25	developing 30:5	51:23 52:1,24
65:13,18,18	days 77:1,12,19	78:1	difference 15:25	60:17 67:19
67:12 68:14	DD 79:16	departments	19:19	68:3 77:20
70:8 80:12,13	DDF 46:3,6,10	8:14 19:25	different 7:6	documentation
count 59:20	46:13	32:16 35:15	10:21 19:12	14:24 20:22
counted 14:20	DDFs 45:25	37:9	25:4 35:10,13	33:1 49:21
52:15 67:12	declare 83:20	depend 22:21	36:13,16 37:16	68:15
68:14 71:13	deed-in-lieus	61:19 66:1	38:11 39:17	documents
77:16	19:17,18	depended 14:15	62:3	10:14,16,19,25
country 20:8	deeper 70:4	depending	direct 3:5 5:7	11:3,18 12:1
counts 60:25	default 9:7 11:6	33:10,12 42:17	43:22 80:16	12:17 13:17,22
COUNTY 1:1	21:23 31:24	64:21	direction 13:9	14:3,6 16:1
79:3 80:3 82:4	34:14	depends 50:17	80:16	17:13 18:1,16
course 26:1	defaulted 34:16	51:21	directive 12:9	18:22 19:22
42:10 45:1	defaults 10:10	DEPONENT	directly 9:11,12	22:17 23:8
46:13 65:3	defendants 1:14	83:24	19:9 40:20	24:2,21 25:1
court 1:1 6:12	2:6 4:13	deposition 1:15	discovery 16:22	32:10 36:16
18:13,17 55:7	Delaware 73:1	4:3,19 5:11,16	17:3,6,15,24	37:7,9,19,20
81:14	delivered 23:18	6:3 78:17 80:7	18:4 46:16	38:1,3,13,15
covered 77:23	24:12	80:9,10 81:9	47:22,23 48:2	38:18 40:4,5,5
cramdown 46:8	Denver 33:23	81:10,13 82:6	49:10 56:20	40:17 41:1,3
cramdowns	department	83:3,20	57:4 58:25	46:22 48:22
32:1	7:13 8:16 9:5	depositions 4:21	64:5,6 65:4,24	49:10,19 51:6
CROSS 3:5	9:14 10:1	60:24 78:15	67:9,11,15,19	51:20 52:23
current 6:5	15:14 17:25	describe 9:14	68:6,12,13	55:15 56:3,23
Curtis 27:8 30:4	19:12,15,16	55:24 56:1	69:10,16,19	57:6,21,22,23
custodial 50:24	20:2 26:12,19	described 8:11	73:5 76:4,20	60:6,11,22
custody 75:14	26:24,25 27:2	56:22	discussed 14:5	61:14 65:11,21
customer 37:25	27:5,7,17 28:1	desk 24:15 25:7	discussion 13:12	65:22 68:5,20
38:21 53:16,25	28:4,8,10,11	25:9,11 47:3	discussions 14:8	69:14 71:6,22
54:24	28:13,17,19,22	48:18,22 49:11	distributed	72:18,23 73:4
customers 38:3	28:25 29:3,11	49:19 67:20	22:16 83:18	76:9,12,14,15
c/o 81:2	20.23 27.3,11	77:15,19	distributes 23:8	76:16 77:5,8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l



				Page 8
79.6	12.2 17.7 11	24.20.25.12.16	26.9 0 12	14.24 15.14
78:6	13:3 17:7,11	34:20 35:13,16	26:8,9,13	14:24 15:14
doing 27:25	18:5,13,17	escrows 28:7	27:19 28:4,9	16:6 17:20
61:18	22:10 24:17	Esq 2:3,7 81:2	29:4,15,22	18:1 20:2 33:1
draft 57:24	26:21 30:23	essentially 29:12	30:10,22 31:3	37:24 38:2,8
drafted 20:13	32:13 36:18	44:7	31:14 32:12	38:19,21,25
drafts 16:6	39:22,25 45:20	estimate 77:3	33:4 34:10,12	40:10,25 41:21
drawn 74:16	48:11,23 49:2	et 1:7,8,9,10,11	34:22 35:1,2,6	41:25 42:8
driven 41:19	52:19,21 53:13	1:12 81:4,5,5,5	35:8,17 36:15	49:17,24 66:5
drop 24:14 25:7	53:18 57:8	81:5,5	36:25 37:2	67:10 70:12,16
25:10 47:6,9	59:5 60:20	everyday 62:11	42:12,25 43:14	70:25 71:5,9
49:10,19 67:20	61:24 62:2	exact 13:20	43:25 44:9	71:23,24,25
dropdowns	65:13 66:11,13	EXAMINATI	54:18 65:1	72:1 75:7,8
45:24	70:17 73:25	5:7	field 46:12 61:3	76:14,16 77:25
drops 47:2	74:21 75:21	examined 4:9	FIFTEENTH	78:7
due 34:15,18	78:11 81:2	example 30:10	1:1	foreclosures
duly 4:9 79:8	ELLISON,ESQ	37:15 46:4	figure 22:22	10:10,17,20
Dustin 2:7 4:12	81:24	58:2 63:2	figures 22:15	11:1,15,24
60:20 81:24	email 13:10	67:25	52:14 54:25	12:3 13:18,23
duties 7:15 8:23	15:17,21	exception 82:8	file 47:10 81:25	14:13 17:14
10:3 13:24,25	employed 74:11	executed 82:10	filed 81:14	32:11 50:18
14:23 15:3	74:13	exhibits 3:11	files 7:21	57:7
16:20 19:11	employee 80:12	48:6,9 52:6,11	final 5:20 77:22	foregoing 80:7
42:10 46:14	80:13	52:17 53:11,24	finally 48:4	80:15 82:5
65:4 73:13	employees 43:5	54:3,5,7,20	financially	form 9:16,18
D-O-C-T-R-A	43:15	55:3 68:16	80:14	12:11,13 15:18
44:25	employer 6:5	exist 73:19,22	find 55:25	15:22 17:7
	encounter 46:13	expected 64:10	finish 8:18 26:21	23:9 24:17
-	endorse 14:9	experience 72:2	finished 78:8	30:23 39:22,24
E 3:2 4:1,1 80:1	entail 7:20 33:9	Expires 79:16	firm 40:8,9,20	45:20 46:12
80:1 82:1,1	ENTER 83:4	Explain 36:17	firms 39:6 40:1	48:23 49:1
83:1,1,1	entered 41:24	extent 12:20	first 4:9 5:1 6:3	52:19 53:13,17
earlier 5:17	83:21	78:13	7:10 27:12	54:15 59:5
24:25 56:18,22	entire 26:10	extreme 77:14	firsthand 55:9	61:24 62:1
77:23	49:13	F	floor 23:23	83:21
easier 6:12	entries 29:17		Florida 1:1,19	forth 80:10
either 23:13	42:5,8	F 80:1 82:1	1:23,24 2:5,9	forward 83:18
36:5 40:4	entry 26:16	fact 60:6	4:5 79:2,5,6,15	forwarded
70:15 77:25	errata 82:9	Fannie 58:15	80:2,5,6 81:4	81:14
electronic 39:13	83:18	59:11	81:20 82:3	found 65:23
39:16 51:14	error 65:23 66:1	far 25:17 43:4	focus 20:24 21:1	66:18 67:9
Ellison 2:3 4:14	67:9	43:17	21:12 52:14	Freddie 58:15
4:14,17,22 5:3	escapes 27:13	fashion 78:13	54:23 55:1	59:11
5:24 6:11 8:18	escrow 28:10,24	feet 25:18	follows 4:10	free 46:12
9:16,19 10:21	29:11,12 30:8	Fidelity 21:19	foreclosure 9:7	Friday 81:12
12:11,14,20	31:10 34:4,8	25:20,24 26:7	10:24 14:3,6	front 24:8 25:8
	ı	ı	I	ı





	-	ī		ī
FSB 6:7 73:9,24	4:16 5:10 79:7	incoming 7:25	80:14	35:24 38:18
74:11	80:7 81:2,22	8:14	internal 39:9,15	41:9,17,21
full 34:10 68:21	82:17 83:3	indebtedness	61:22 62:15	53:2 55:21
68:23 69:2	hand 24:9,20	11:6,7,8,12	63:10,18 64:1	57:22 66:18
function 10:9	handed 12:9	20:21 32:10	64:7 65:6	72:23 76:5,9
36:1	15:23,24	45:2 46:14	68:22	76:12 77:4,8
further 80:9,12	happen 19:2	56:19 59:3	interrogatories	knew 27:16
	happened 25:12	63:12,18 66:3	50:6 61:2	know 5:18,20
G	67:2	66:25 69:23	69:15	11:13 12:23,25
G 4:1	happens 5:18	independent	interrupt 76:24	13:2,21 14:2
general 10:23	36:7 38:24	32:18	investor 62:2,7	14:18,20,25
15:3	head 33:20	Indiana 19:23	62:11,16 63:8	16:15,17,19
generalized	headquartered	indirect 43:23	63:11,19 64:2	17:18,21 18:10
78:12	72:25	individual 4:21	64:8 65:6,20	19:4,16,19,22
generic 24:25	heads 5:24 6:2	8:13 15:2 75:2	68:21,25 69:20	19:25 20:4,11
geographic 20:6	held 75:25	information	investors 61:21	22:8,25 23:5
Giem 33:21	help 75:2	12:24 30:2,19	involve 75:11	24:19 25:18
give 12:19 22:15	hereinabove	34:20,25 41:14	in-house 14:7	27:4 28:20,21
32:7 46:4 61:4	80:10,11	45:10,13 51:2	15:15 16:4,9	29:1,14,16,19
70:8 76:11	hire 43:19 64:16	54:13 63:4	31:13	29:23,24 30:9
given 14:17 17:3	hired 76:25 77:2	64:9,15,18,20	in-person 13:12	30:16 31:9,12
17:20 82:6	history 26:10	68:22 69:22	issue 5:20 42:18	31:15 32:23,24
go 19:6 22:11	34:11	70:10 75:5	issues 42:14,16	33:3,10,15,17
24:5,12 25:10	Hollars 27:15	78:5	42:21	34:3,5,6,8 37:4
33:3 45:15	home 7:8,11	initial 4:18		37:6,9,13 38:6
66:4 70:4	homeowner's	initially 24:22	J	41:3 42:3,17
goes 64:9,11,15	30:11	input 58:2	J 1:23 81:19	43:4,17,20
65:2	Hope 6:24,25	instance 63:17	JOSE 1:10	45:6,9 46:2,9
going 13:3,4	hour 16:18	63:21 66:21,24	judge 61:4	47:8,9 48:17
61:3,4,6 75:10	hour 10.18 hours 14:21	instruct 15:13	judgment 41:23	48:20,21 49:3
78:14,16	81:11	49:18	JUDICIAL 1:1	49:9 50:3,4,21
good 30:20	HSBC 7:4 71:24	instructed 16:1	July 73:12 79:16	50:24 51:2,8
69:20	human 27:25	48:17,20 49:9		51:11,14 55:14
group 7:22,23	hundreds 38:7	instruction 13:7	K	55:23 56:2,5
7:24 32:18,21	H-O-L-L-A-R-S	15:1,4 76:8	keep 75:14	57:13 58:8,11
33:20 51:7,20	27:16	instructions	kept 14:22 50:22	58:13 59:17,18
55:15 56:3	27.10	41:24 47:17	51:9	60:8 61:6
groups 8:1,8,10	I	48:1,3,14	Kim 1:12 5:4	64:24 66:7,10
guide 29:20	Ice 2:8 4:12	67:22,25 76:11	kind 9:4 14:15	67:2,7 69:11
30:13	idea 58:10 69:20	insurance 30:11	40:12 52:17	69:12,14 70:7
G-I-E-M 33:21	immediately	intercom 40:19	kinds 7:8 9:1	70:9,10,12
	29:18	40:24 41:8,11	10:19 11:3,5,8	71:11,17 72:13
H	inaccurate	41:14 45:17	20:19,21,25	72:17,25 74:7
H 83:1	24:10	67:24	21:20 22:19	74:8,13,15,15
Hall 1:15 3:6 4:8	include 60:14	interested 65:14	33:8 34:11	74:0,13,13,13
		mici esteu 03.14		/4.10,23,24





75:4,13,15,24	LLC 1:5 7:13	60:18,25 61:1	30:13	37:25
76:1,2,18,23	73:6,19 74:8	61:17	March 72:10	misplaced 55:7
77:10,12,16,18	74:14,20	lot 34:25	Marchant 9:10	55:12,19 60:3
78:1,3	loan 1:5 4:15,24	louder 6:11	19:9 50:20	mitigation 6:25
knowledge 55:9	4:25,25 5:3,4,5	LPS 21:19 25:20	71:4,8 78:4	41:5 42:9
58:11 82:8	6:10,17,20	25:23,24 39:2	MARKED 3:11	Monday 81:12
known 73:6,9	7:13 58:3,14	39:4,20 40:6	marking 27:18	month 12:6
Kyle 33:21,23	59:4,8,12,15	40:18 41:3	Martinez 18:20	monthly 33:5
	62:8 64:8 73:6	42:1,5,8,11,13	18:23 70:14	morning 23:19
L	73:19 74:8,14	42:20 45:17	match 53:3,11	mortgage 11:19
L 1:22	74:20	65:1	53:24 54:3	38:4 39:13,15
Lakes 1:24	loans 7:8,9,11	Lucy 28:18,21	matched 52:24	62:8,11 75:11
81:19	39:10 58:5,6	30:18	matter 60:22	mortgages 7:10
Lange 28:18,21	61:22 74:20	L-A-S-H-L-E-Y	75:4 81:9	7:11 39:13
30:18	located 56:15	51:7	MCCGRADY	motion 7:23
language 46:9	60:15		83:2	31:22 33:11
52:10,15 54:21	location 56:8	M	McGrady 1:12	Mutual 6:22
54:23 59:7	lockbox 27:22	Mac 58:15	5:4 81:5	myriad 41:10
67:11	log 41:15 44:3	Macklin 1:9 5:5	mean 10:4 24:8	
Large 4:5 80:6	logical 60:18	81:5 83:2	25:14 27:24	N
Lashley 51:4	61:16	Mae 58:15 59:11	32:4 41:20	N 2:8 3:2 4:1
56:13 75:19	long 13:17 16:13	mail 7:25 8:14	42:15 44:6	name 5:1,9
law 39:6 40:1,8	76:18	37:11	46:3 57:13	19:16 27:3,7
40:9	longer 50:1	maintained 42:2	64:13,16 69:3	27:12,13,15
leave 50:2	look 21:6,13,13	51:15	means 80:16	32:20 35:1
leeway 61:5	21:21 35:8	maintaining	Melinda 1:22	53:11,25 54:4
left 43:14	36:14 42:13	45:4	4:3 79:5,15	72:24 81:15
legal 2:8 4:12	52:5,12 53:2	maintains 37:3	80:5,24 81:18	names 11:10,25
31:13 67:11	53:10,24 54:5	majority 11:4	memorializing	18:10 22:6,11
letter 81:15	54:7,22 56:3	38:24	13:15	22:13 28:23
letters 37:25	58:18,21,24	making 26:12	merge 73:24	38:6 53:4
38:3	59:22 60:18	42:5	74:9	57:23
let's 10:23 22:10	61:16 63:10	managed 7:19	MERS 72:4,11	Nebraska 28:15
24:12 66:2	64:1 65:5,19	management	72:15,19,24,25	56:7
level 18:2 36:5	67:24 68:5,9	25:24 39:2,4	73:2	necessary 22:17
line 29:23,25	68:12,17 69:6	39:20 40:18	MERS-only	81:10
30:6 31:22	looked 51:25	41:4,19,22	39:10	need 5:14 15:9
33:12 41:19,19	62:25 64:6	42:1,6,12,13	messenger	41:12 57:18
41:22 83:5	looking 21:22	42:20 45:18	48:19	63:7 71:23
lines 31:24	56:2,16 59:24	54:19	Michele 70:22	needed 15:20
42:23 74:15	60:22	manager 7:17	milestones 39:8	35:22 67:15
list 18:11	loss 6:25 41:5	10:6 49:17,25	39:9,12,18,20	needs 5:22 9:6
listed 62:21	42:8	71:25 72:1	40:16 41:1,17	Neva 1:15 3:6
little 6:11 53:21	lost 55:7,18	78:7	minutes 16:16	4:8,16 5:10
61:7	59:20 60:2,7	manual 29:20	miscellaneous	79:7 80:7 81:2
		<u> </u>		





81:22 82:17	56:3,6,9 60:10	Okay 5:13,22	20:12 40:10,17	party 38:23
83:3	60:11,14,19	6:5,17 7:2 8:13	40:25 41:2	passing 12:21,24
never 14:20,22	61:1,14,17,17	8:20 9:4 10:19	50:9,14,16,19	password 35:24
16:15,17 62:25	61:20,22 62:15	10:25 11:14	54:10 60:21	36:3,5
71:13 77:16	75:14,21,25	12:2,9 13:17	61:3 70:8	paying 29:13
new 7:24 8:15	80:8	15:12,25 16:18	outstanding	payment 26:10
32:3,4 35:21	notice 81:8	17:11 18:11	42:14,18	26:16 28:4
70:6	notices 31:24	20:7,10 21:5	oversaw 17:23	29:3 34:11,19
Nick 1:8 4:24	notification	23:11,14 24:19	19:13	34:19 35:21
Nina 1:9 5:5	15:18,20	25:13,17 29:7	oversee 7:12	44:10,12
NOD 41:23	notified 41:12	29:20,24 30:2	owned 58:8,14	payments 27:18
nodding 5:24	number 4:19	30:21 31:7,25	59:11,15	28:7 29:18
6:2	10:13 22:2	32:20 33:17	owner 58:5 59:3	pay-outs 28:7
Nordman 18:12	66:2 81:11	35:7,12,15	62:8,12,13,16	penalty 83:20
49:15,18,24	numbers 20:24	36:7 39:1,4,19	63:1,8,11,19	pending 34:19
71:7	21:1,12 31:2,3	40:21,24 42:4	64:2,8 65:5,20	61:9
normally 47:6	70:4,4	43:18,21 44:3	68:24 69:6,20	people 8:10,14
NOS 81:6	N-O-R-D-M	44:20 47:22	ownership	8:15 17:22,23
notarization	18:19	51:22 52:22	61:21 62:2	17:25 18:2,9
56:18		53:2 54:10	68:21	18:21 27:4
notarized 56:23	0	55:2,6,21 56:5	owns 25:23 58:2	28:19 38:13
notary 1:23 4:4	O 4:1	59:10,14,22	59:8	43:1 69:9 71:9
23:20,22,24	oath 43:11 79:1	60:5,14 61:21		73:16 77:10
24:3,11,13,15	Object 9:16	63:14,16,21,24	<u>P</u>	percentage
25:9,9,13 57:8	12:11 17:7	64:4 66:2 67:8	P 4:1	14:23 33:15,17
57:10 68:2	24:17 30:23	67:14 68:16,20	package 54:11	58:8
79:6,15 80:5	39:22 45:20	69:5 71:19	54:14,17	performed
notary's 25:10	48:23 52:19	72:9,11,18	PAGE 83:5	55:11
notating 28:9	53:13 59:5	73:13 75:2,5	pages 80:8	period 4:19
notations 82:9	61:24	75:19 76:3,17	paid 30:10	76:18
note 34:13 35:2	obviously 65:13	77:3,7,22 78:4	Palm 1:1,19,24	perjury 83:20
35:9,14 36:18	occasion 21:21	78:8	1:24 2:5,9 79:3	permission 63:7
36:22 51:15,22	66:8	once 24:3 67:4	80:3 81:4,19	69:6
55:12,19,25	occasionally	ones 27:18	81:20 82:4	person 7:24
56:15 59:20,22	21:6,8 24:7	opposed 19:3,6	paper 13:14	18:22 22:16
59:25 60:2,6	25:5 41:5	ordering 81:14	pardon 15:19	23:7 24:21,24
60:15,18,25	occur 22:24	ordinarily 50:21	50:23 56:21	24:25 25:3
75:15	73:11	51:9	parlance 62:8	29:5 46:21
notes 14:9 34:21	office 16:5 19:20	original 55:7,12	part 13:23,25	49:12 56:16
35:12 36:14,15	19:23 20:5,7	55:19 60:15	15:3 16:20	67:20
36:19 37:22	33:22,22 69:10	81:13 83:18	78:12	personal 7:11
38:4 41:15	81:11,12 83:18	origination	particular 9:14	personally
45:19 50:21,25	officer 72:3 78:3	34:13 38:1,3	10:1 19:5 61:8	57:24 79:7
51:8,12,25	official 32:23	47:10	parties 80:12,13	petition 34:18
55:8,18,22	Oh 22:9	outside 16:11	83:19	Phone 1:25



				rage 9.
phrased 49:2	previous 6:9	36:18,22 37:22		44:15 45:19
physical 23:9	44:13	38:4 50:25		62:16 63:11,18
physical 23.9 physically 27:25	primarily 25:6	51:8,22,25	R 4:1 5:4 80:1	64:1,7,7 65:6
Pimentel 1:11	25:12 26:4	55:12,19 56:6	82:1 83:1,1	68:22 69:6
	27:20 40:22	<i>'</i>	Rachel 27:8	70:7
5:6 81:5 83:2		56:9,15 75:25	30:4	
place 4:23 41:25	42:5,9 77:7	proof 7:22 31:20	raise 42:16	RECROSS 3:5
43:24 45:18	primary 10:3	33:11	random 16:2,3	red 66:11
60:18 61:16	principal 34:14	properly 48:17	33:13	REDIRECT 3:5
80:10	print 70:6	protected 12:22	rare 23:4 66:8	refer 62:8,16
placement 64:10	prior 6:20 20:22	35:24 36:3	66:10	64:14
64:11	42:22 46:20	provided 53:7	read 8:14 74:19	referenced 61:1
Plaintiff 1:6 2:2	49:3 52:12	Public 1:23 4:4	78:14,15 81:13	references 60:14
plan 46:5	54:22 55:3	79:6 80:5	82:5 83:20	referral 54:14
play 41:8	63:11 65:20	Public-State	reads 7:25	54:16 64:25
please 5:9 81:8	privilege 12:23	79:15	really 14:14	referred 20:5
81:11 83:18	65:14,15	pulled 33:13	29:5 54:23	48:8
point 45:16	privileged 13:4	Purificato 1:8	reason 12:19	referring 21:16
65:15 78:12	procedures	4:24 81:4 83:2	14:2 42:21	reflect 29:22
policies 32:24	32:25 51:11	push 43:25 44:6	68:10 71:22	30:9
50:25 76:5	75:16,17	pushed 44:2	83:5	reflected 41:15
policy 29:20	proceed 4:20	put 45:19 69:22	reasonable	regarding 32:25
30:13,13 62:23	process 14:11,14	putting 29:15	81:13	38:21
75:13	23:1 28:1,25	54:11	reasons 42:17	Regina 51:4
position 6:23	29:2,8,13,19	p.m 81:12	recall 11:10	56:13 75:19
10:9 18:25	33:8 41:19		21:15 22:12	Registration
possible 58:7	49:13 50:17	Q	28:23 46:15	39:14,16
post 34:18	56:2,19,22	quality 30:21	47:25 53:9	registry 39:14
posted 27:23	57:3 63:25	question 5:14,17	63:16,21 69:17	39:16
practices 76:5,9	64:21 67:18,19	8:18 12:25	receive 13:9,14	related 12:21
76:12	68:2	13:1,21 24:5	15:13 29:18	32:10
preliminaries	processes 7:19	26:21 28:6	46:24 47:1	relative 78:11
5:13	28:3 30:22	29:7,11 30:8	76:8	80:12,13
prepares 50:6	31:18,19 45:24	33:11,12 38:22	received 23:7	relied 60:8 64:3
50:11	processor 22:8	38:22 47:22	77:19	relief 33:12
present 24:2	66:4 67:10	48:1 49:4 52:9	Recess 43:9	reliefs 7:23
74:14	71:25	52:21 53:19	78:10	31:22
president 6:16	processors 21:9	55:5 61:9,12		remember 5:15
8:4,22 9:6,8,13	21:14 22:4,5	63:24 64:4	record 4:17,22	5:17 11:18,22
9:15,20,20,23	22:20 23:1	67:8 74:6,17	4:23 26:4	11:25 12:5,7
10:2,5,13	Professional 4:4	77:22	35:21 60:21,21	13:16,20 18:11
11:24 16:21	79:5 80:5	questions 32:7	80:8	27:3,12 46:17
19:1,12 51:6	program 6:24	46:9 65:14	records 26:13	46:19 47:15
72:6,12,16,19	projects 9:3,4	78:11	26:15 28:4	53:18 55:23
Pretty 8:24 9:5	10:8	quickly 29:21	33:4 35:17,18	57:23 61:12
22:2	promissory 14:9	30:9	42:24 44:10,12	63:23 66:20,21
<i>LL.L</i>	promissory 14:9] 30.7		03.23 00.20,21



				Page 92
	l			
66:23,24 76:21	reviewing 42:20	sale 41:25	sent 41:23,24	24:8,15 25:8
rephrase 17:10	54:3,20	sampling 33:13	54:14 66:21,25	47:17 48:2
49:7 62:5	re-ask 53:20	saw 22:21 66:15	70:1	50:7,7,12,12
report 9:12 73:2	re-check 66:5	says 10:6 36:10	separate 8:13	56:23 57:7,17
80:6	Rice 70:22	58:17	32:16 36:25	57:18 67:15
reported 1:22	right 6:2,13 7:12	scan 37:12 38:13	37:2 39:1,17	68:3 69:15
71:7	9:24,25 10:14	scanned 27:23	44:16,18 57:8	71:22 72:18
reporter 4:4	10:17 11:1	scans 37:14	57:10 78:13	77:20,24 78:5
6:12 18:14,17	15:6,7 16:22	schedule 81:11	separately 44:17	81:10,13,15
79:6 80:5,16	16:25 22:19	scope 61:3	servicer 58:5	signature 81:10
reporting 33:13	23:20 24:13	Scotts 28:14	74:20	81:15,21,23
reports 71:4	25:11 27:3,13	34:1 37:21	servicers 43:18	83:23
representative	27:14,19 30:6	56:7,13	44:13	signed 11:15,18
6:24	32:8 33:18	screen 36:10	Services 1:5	11:23 13:22
reproduction	35:3,9,17	44:7 58:16	4:15,24,25 5:1	14:12,16 15:21
80:15	36:20,21,22	65:1 70:1,7	5:4,5,6 6:10,18	16:21 17:20
request 42:19	38:16,17 40:10	screens 43:25	6:20 7:13 73:6	18:1,16 19:23
49:22 57:21	43:3,12,16	44:2 70:6	73:19 74:8,14	20:15,21 23:2
requested 80:7	44:10 46:22	search 55:11,18	74:20	24:3 34:13
requests 15:16	47:3 52:1,6,13	55:24 56:1	servicing 21:17	35:3 56:20
requirements	52:16,23,23	seats 71:13	34:21 35:12,14	57:1,3 61:7
29:23,25 30:6	53:12 54:1,24	second 7:11 32:8	36:19 42:24	63:17 65:11
resource 30:20	54:25 55:8,25	securities 75:11	43:2 58:16	69:14 79:10
response 5:16	56:23 57:25	securitized	60:10,10 61:13	80:18 82:12
65:24 67:14	58:3,6 59:12	75:15	61:23 62:15	83:18
responses 16:21	60:12,15 61:14	security 36:4,4	63:10	signers 22:17
17:2,5,14,24	62:8 63:5,8,19	76:1	set 80:10,11	signing 12:17
18:3 46:16	64:3,10 67:13	see 25:15,19	setup 7:24 8:15	13:17 14:3,6
56:20 57:4	68:13,16,18,19	27:24 41:21	32:3,4	14:24 16:7
58:25 64:4,6	68:22 69:7,23	42:14,16 49:18	shared 14:1	17:13,23 18:3
67:8,11,19	70:5 71:20	49:21 52:22	65:18	20:22 23:6
68:6,11 69:9	73:7 75:11,19	53:20 59:7,11	sheet 82:9 83:18	32:9 33:1
69:16,18 73:5	75:22 77:20	59:14 60:6,24	Shortly 72:8	42:11,22 45:1
76:4,19	rights 67:12	63:1,7,18	shots 44:7 70:1	46:14,16,20
responsible 77:8	ROAD 2:8	64:24 65:5,19	70:7	47:22 52:12
retaining 50:16	room 25:16,19	67:25 68:24	shuffled 73:16	58:19,22,25
50:18	60:21	69:6 70:4	side 46:5	60:17 63:12
return 81:15	Roselito 1:11	seen 55:18 57:17	sign 10:13,16,19	64:4,6 65:4,20
review 20:20,22	5:6	send 24:3 64:18	10:24,25 11:9	65:22 67:8,18
20:25 42:11,22	routine 13:23,25	66:6 67:9,14	11:11,19 12:2	68:6,12,20
45:2 46:5	ROYAL 2:9	sending 26:1	14:21 15:1,9	69:9,18 71:6
47:17 52:17		sends 64:20	15:16 16:1,13	73:4 76:3,9,12
54:13 80:7	S	senior 9:6,8	17:3,5,9,14	76:19,25 77:4
reviewed 46:6	s 4:1 39:14,16	sense 22:23	18:22 19:2,7	77:8,10
55:3	83:1	61:19	20:19 23:24	similar 19:25
	1	l	1	l



				Page 9.
simply 55.24	start 10.7.22	annouvice - 7:7	avatoma 25:22	40.22.22.41.0
simply 55:24	start 10:7,23 22:10	supervisor 7:7 15:5 27:10	systems 25:23	40:22,23 41:9
single 63:17,21			39:14,16 54:16	41:10,17,21
66:21,24	started 61:18	66:5,17 70:16		53:2 55:21
sit 11:22 63:22	71:25 72:8	75:8	T 80:1,1 82:1,1	66:18
sitting 24:13	state 1:23 2:8	supervisors	83:1,1	think 11:4 16:3
25:9 41:2	4:5 5:9 79:2,6	70:12	table 24:13	18:16,21 20:6
63:16	80:2,5 82:3	supposed 29:21	table 24.13 take 14:11 16:13	32:8 37:8
Smoot 25:6	stated 43:15	sure 5:21 9:21	18:15 22:13	44:14,23 61:2
46:22 48:16,21	69:22	11:7,13 15:5	24:14 29:2	61:6 74:23
49:9,19	statement 24:10	15:20 18:23		thinking 32:7
somebody 7:21	statements 82:6	22:7 24:6,11	42:18 43:7	third 38:23
25:4	States 20:8	26:16 40:23	66:17 67:18	thought 22:22
soon 76:25	stenographic	47:2,9 50:24	78:8 81:8	Thursday 1:17
sorry 9:21 20:20	80:8	51:24 53:20	taken 4:3 5:11	81:8
21:20 27:16	stenographica	54:3,24 56:22	16:16,18 41:25	time 7:20 10:21
36:21 39:11	80:6	57:15 61:13,18	72:24 80:10	15:8 16:7
40:15 45:12	steps 41:18	61:20 62:10	83:3	17:13 18:5,6
48:25 61:9,12	42:23	65:17	talk 59:24 74:1	23:2,3,17 25:4
62:9 66:13	Steve 4:14	switchover	75:10	29:23,24 30:6
70:13 72:14	Steven 2:3 81:2	73:11,14	talked 24:24	31:22,24 32:9
74:6 76:24	81:24	sworn 4:9 79:8	72:14	33:1,12 41:18
special 63:7	stipulated 4:18	system 21:6,13	talking 36:19,22	41:19,22 42:23
specialty 19:5	stop 12:4,10,17	21:16,17,18,21	40:9 61:4	49:15 58:18,21
specific 11:25	13:9,19 14:3	25:20,21,22,24	76:13,14	58:24 62:25
12:5,7 26:14	stopped 13:20	25:25 26:4,10	tell 5:14,15 15:9	64:5,25 65:15
37:15 39:12	14:6 68:20	26:13 27:19	19:19 23:6	69:18 71:6,7
47:17 67:1,22	76:21 77:4	28:9 29:4,15	25:20 26:9	74:14 76:3,18
67:25	stored 44:16	29:22 30:10,22	29:8 30:21	78:12 80:10
specifically 30:1	storing 50:25	31:4,14 32:12	33:8 45:15	81:9,13
specifics 66:20	51:12	34:12,22 35:10	48:21 65:3,19	timed 16:15,17
specify 20:20	Street 1:18 2:4	36:13,14,16,23	69:19 74:8	timely 39:17
speculate 30:15	81:3	37:3,5,7,10,23	ten 67:6 71:14	times 15:25
67:13	structure 74:1	38:5 39:1,2,5	77:17	57:17 62:19
speculating	stuff 37:14	39:21 40:18,19	term 62:10	67:6
14:25 47:15	sub 43:18	40:24 41:4,8	termed 10:8	title 6:15 24:25
63:20	subject 83:21	41:11,14 42:2	terms 29:13,21	25:21 32:23
spell 18:13,17	Subsequent	42:6,12,12,13	50:25 51:11	49:16 72:5
stack 23:11 24:3	4:20	42:22,25 43:2	60:23 61:7	titles 74:19
24:14,20	substance 83:21	43:15 44:4,9	75:13 77:15,23	today 4:13 10:21
standard 14:14	Substitution	44:18 45:2,5,8	testified 4:10	10:23,24 11:22
54:15	11:16	45:11,14,17,18	thing 7:7 66:17	41:2 60:23
standards 31:5	substitutions	51:18,23 52:1	things 7:6 9:1	63:16,22 74:18
31:7,10,14,17	11:21	54:8,19 58:16	12:14 20:20,25	74:21 75:11
32:11,25 45:7	Suite 1:19,24	60:11 61:13,23	22:19 28:6	told 12:10,17
stands 46:2	2:4,9 81:3,19	64:22 67:24	33:9 34:11	13:18 14:2
- '	,, -		_	





				rage 9
65:12	T 7	***	witnessed 18:22	4:12,17 5:2,8
Totally 39:17	<u>V</u>	<u>W</u>	witnessed 18:22 witnesses 57:6	6:1,14 8:21
track 14:22 61:6	v 81:4 83:2	waive 78:16	words 35:7	9:18,21,22
	various 15:11	81:10,15,21,23	57:15	, ,
transactions 29:22 35:25	42:17	waiving 65:15		10:22 12:13,15
	vault 56:5 75:25	WaMu 7:2	work 6:21 9:6,8	12:16 13:5
transcript 5:21	76:2	want 49:6 62:4	9:11 29:13	17:8,10,12
80:7,8,15	verbiage 46:7	74:19	71:12	18:6,8,24
81:24 83:4	verification 48:4	wanted 35:19	worked 6:22	22:18 24:23
true 80:8 82:7	48:5,14 52:6	61:5 70:3	19:17 71:24	26:23 31:1
83:20	52:10,12,18	wants 49:6	working 72:8	32:14,19 36:20
truly 81:16	54:21 55:2	Washington	works 29:9 50:4	36:24 39:24
trustee 11:16	58:22 65:24	6:22	world 62:11	40:2 43:8,10
62:20	66:15,22	wasn't 24:7 25:9	wouldn't 35:1,8	45:22 48:13,25
trustees 11:22	verifications	46:7 49:4	36:1 56:1	49:8 52:20
two 12:14 28:3	17:19,24 18:3	watch 23:24	59:11 60:5,18	53:1,14,17,20
74:1,2	46:18 50:11	24:15 57:18	61:16 68:10	53:22 57:9
type 36:4 46:12	63:24 65:5	way 49:2,4	69:4 75:4,24	59:6 61:10
typical 41:20	66:19 73:5	ways 15:11,12	77:12	62:1,5,6 65:16
62:7	76:4,19	week 12:6 13:23	WRITE 83:4	66:14 70:18
typically 15:17	verified 16:24	14:17 17:3,17	writing 38:23	74:4,22 75:1
70:8	53:23	17:20	written 42:24	75:22,23 78:8
U	verify 22:15	went 9:19 27:22	76:5	ZACKS,ESQ
	31:3 52:5,18	49:5 63:18	wrong 9:18	81:24
Uh-huh 50:10	59:19 60:2	West 1:19,24	12:13 39:24	1
75:12	verifying 31:2	2:5 81:4,20	48:25 53:17	_
uh-huhs 6:2	53:10 54:22	we'll 43:24	62:1 66:2,16	180:8
understand 5:14	55:4 63:25	we're 60:23	66:18 67:13,16	1,000 14:19
43:11 47:7	versus 4:24,25	65:14 74:18	W-H-Y-T-N-I	10 14:19
62:7,10	5:1,4,5,6 19:23	75:10	18:20	100 14:19 71:16
understood 15:2	vice 6:16 8:4,22	wholly 36:25		71:18
Unpaid 21:25	9:6,8,13,15,20	Whytnie 18:12	X X	1015 2:8
unusual 22:22	9:20,23 10:2,5	18:23 49:15,18	X 3:2	11:35 1:17
upload 37:9	10:13 11:24	49:24 71:7	XI 32:1	15 16:16
51:22	16:21 19:1,12	wish 81:14	<u> </u>	1655 1:24 81:19
uploaded 22:14	51:6 72:6,12	witness 3:5 4:11	-	2
29:4 51:19	72:16,19	4:16 6:13 8:20	Yeah 5:19 7:10	
uploads 37:7	view 44:1	13:2 18:7,15	22:12 34:25	2 79:16
usage 62:11	viewer 36:23	18:19 22:12	36:11 40:4	2008 72:10
use 28:25 40:22	37:10,23 38:5	24:19 30:25	44:19 66:12	2011 1:17 73:12
42:9	39:1 51:18,23	32:15 36:21	75:9	79:8,10 80:18
usual 23:1	viewers 57:16	41:13 48:12	year 12:6,8 77:4	81:1,9 82:12
usually 15:23	VP 70:24 72:6	52:22 57:18,21	years 13:24	83:3
16:4 23:19	vs 1:6	66:12 73:25	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	2012 79:16
46:11,11,22		74:23	Zacks 2:7 4:12	21st 73:12
60:4			Lachs 2./ 4.12	23 8:12 17:23
	I	l	I	l



			Page 9
3			
30 77:1			
33401 1:19,24			
2:5 81:4,20			
33411 2:9			
33711 2.7			
4			
4 1:17 3:6 83:3			
4th 79:7 81:8			
4:30 81:12			
500 1:19,24 2:4			
81:3,19 502008CA031			
1:2 81:6			
502008CA034			
1:3 81:7			
502009CA016			
1:2 81:7			
502009CA043			
1:2 81:6			
502010CA011			
1:3 81:7			
502010CA018			
1:2 81:6			
561 2:5,10			
561-682-0905			
1:25			
7			
7 2:8			
729-0530 2:10			
78 80:8			
8			
8 81:1			
8th 79:10 80:18			
802716 79:16			
832-3300 2:5			
9			
9:00 81:12			
9:35 1:17			
	'		