Short Form Order

SUPREME COURT - STATE OF NEW YORK I.A.S. PART XXXVI SUFFOLK COUNTY

PRESENT:		
HON, PAUL, J	I. BAISLEY, JR.,	J.S.C.

INDEX NO.: 22751-06

MOTION DATE:

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE STRUCTURED ASSET

MOT. NO.: 002 MD

INVESTMENT LOAN TRUST, 2005-6,

Plaintiff,

-against-

DANIELLE MOSS A/K/A DANIELLE SANDELLA, BNC MORTGAGE, INC., GMAC INTEGRON INSURANCE COMPANY, JOHN CHRISTOPHER PRATHER, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL IN CHARGE FOR THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., AS HOLDER AND NOMINEE FOR BNC MORTGAGE, INC., SIM 3 MANAGEMENT CORP. D/B/A EMPIRE BONDING AGENCY, LOUIS SANDELLA,

Defendants.	
 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:

STEVEN J. BAUM, P.C. By: Michael C. Doyle, Esq. 220 Northpointe Parkway, Suite G Amherst, New York 14228

REFEREE:

KENNETH A. DEEGAN, ESQ. 34 Dewey Street P.O.Box 570 Huntington, New York 11743

Upon the following papers numbered 1 to 5 read on this ex-parte motion for judgment of foreclosure and sale; Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause and supporting papers 1-5; Notice of Cross Motion and supporting papers ; Answering Affidavits and supporting papers ; Replying Affidavits and supporting papers ; Other after hearing counsel in support and opposed to the motion) it is,

ORDERED that the ex-parte motion (motion sequence no. 002) of plaintiff for a judgment of foreclosure and sale is denied. It is well established that a mortgage foreclosure action may be commenced only by the owner of the note and mortgage at the time of commencement of the action Kluge v. Fugazy, 145 A.D.2d 537, 536 N.Y.S.2d 92 (2d Dept. 1988). The complaint herein fails to allege that the plaintiff is the owner and holder of both the note and the mortgage. Accordingly, the pleadings do not establish the existence of a valid cause of action for foreclosure. Beaton v. Transit Facility Corp., 14 A.D.3d 637, 789 N.Y.S.2d 314 (2d Dept. 2005); Green v. Dolphy Constr. Co., 187 A.D.2d 635, 590 N.Y.S.2d 238 (2d Dept. 1992). Moreover, the submissions reflect that the purported assignment to plaintiff of the mortgage sought to be foreclosed herein was executed by Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS") as nominee for BNC Mortgage, Inc. ("BNC"), on September 13, 2006, after the commencement of this action on August 17, 2006. The submissions do not establish that MERS was the owner of the note and mortgage at the time of the purported assignment, or that it otherwise had authority to assign ownership of the note and mortgage to plaintiff. See LaSalle Bank National Association v. Lamy, 2006 NY Slip Op. 51534U,12 Misc. 3d 1191A, 824 N.Y.S.2d 769 (Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cty. 2006). Even if the purported assignment by MERS were valid, the submissions reflect that at the time of the commencement of this action plaintiff was not the owner of the mortgage and note sued upon.

In light of the foregoing, the motion for a judgment of foreclosure and sale is denied.

Proposed order marked "not signed."

Dated: November 5, 2007

HON, PAUL J. BAISLEY, JR.

J.S.C.