

Index No:

017622 / 2004

SUPREME COURT - STATE OF NEW YORK IAS/TRIAL PART 9 - SUFFOLK COUNTY

PR		C	F	٨	ıΤ	٠.
r	ᆫ	J	_	,,	•	•

EDWARD D. BURKE Acting Justice of Supreme Court Motion R/D: Mot Seq #:

NONE - Ex parte 001 MD

ORDER "NOT SIGNED"

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. "MERS" as Nominee for DELTA FUNDING CORPORATION c/o OCWEN FINANCIAL 1675 Palm Beach Lakes West Palm Beach, FL 33401,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

ANTONIA A. PARKER,

"JOHN DOE", (Said name being fictitious, it being the intention of plaintiff to designate any and all occupants of premises being foreclosed herein.),

Defendant(s).

STEVEN J. BAUM, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) P.O. Box 1291 Buffalo, New York 14240-1291

Upon the following papers numbered 1 to 3 read on ex-parte this motion by plaintiff for an order fixing
the defaults of defendants and appointing a referee to compute; Notice of Motion/Order to Show Cause and
the definition of the appointment of the second of the sec
supporting papers 1 to 3; Notice of Cross Motion and supporting papers ; Answering Affidavits and supporting
papers; Replying Affidavits and supporting papers; Other; (and after hearing counsel in support and
opposed to the motion) it is

ORDERED that this ex parte motion (#001) by plaintiff, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS"), for an order fixing the defaults of the defendants served herein; amending the caption to reflect the identity of a certain unknown defendant and to delete other unknown defendants as parties to this action and for an order fixing the defaults of the defendants and appointing a referee to compute amounts due under the terms of the mortgage for which foreclosure is demanded herein is considered under CPLR 3215 and RPAPL Article 1321 and is denied. It is well established that only the owner of the note or debt and the mortgage given as security therefor may prosecute an action for foreclosure of said mortgage (Kluge v Fugazy, 145 AD2d 537, 536 NYS2d 92; see, also, Federal National Mortgage Association v Yonkelsone, 303 AD2d 546, 755 NYS2d 730).

Here, the plaintiff does not allege in its complaint that it is the owner of the note and mortgage sought to be foreclosed herein by reason of assignment or otherwise. Instead, the plaintiff alleges



Index No: Page 2 of 2 Pages

that it is named as the mortgagee's nominee in the mortgage and that the plaintiff is the "holder" of said mortgage. These allegations are insufficient to establish the facts constituting viable claims on the part of the plaintiff against the defendants as required by CPLR 3215(f)

In view of the foregoing, the instant motion (#001) by the plaintiff for an order fixing the defaults of the named defendant and appointing a referee to compute amounts due is denied. The proposed order of reference attached to the moving papers has thus been marked "Not Signed".

Dated: <u>October /9</u>, 2004.

EDWARD D. BURKE, A.J.S.C.